

HORSESHOE AND PERIODIC ORBITS FOR QUASI-PERIODIC FORCED SYSTEMS

WEN HUANG AND ZENG LIAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate chaotic phenomena on quasi-periodic forced systems. We introduce the notion of random periodic orbits and random horseshoe for a quasi-periodic forced system $(M \times \Omega, \phi)$ by viewing ϕ as a random dynamical system over the metric dynamical system (Ω, θ) . When ϕ is transitive and Anosov on fibers, we prove that ϕ has an abundance of random periodic points, Spectral Decomposition Theorem and existence of random horseshoes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f : M \rightarrow M$ be a diffeomorphism of a compact C^∞ Riemannian manifold M . The diffeomorphism f is said to be Anosov if M is hyperbolic [3]. The definition of the more general class of Axiom A diffeomorphisms is due to S. Smale [36]. A point $x \in M$ is nonwandering if $U \cap \bigcup_{n \geq 1} f^{-n}U \neq \emptyset$ for every neighborhood U of x . The set $\Omega(f)$ of all nonwandering points is closed and f -invariant. The diffeomorphism f satisfies Axiom A if $\Omega(f)$ is hyperbolic and is also equal to the closure of the set of all periodic points of f .

It has been well known since the works of Berg, Sinai, Adler-Weiss and Bowen around 1970 that uniformly hyperbolic diffeomorphisms (i.e., Anosov diffeomorphism or Axiom A diffeomorphisms) of a compact manifold has Markov partition or are "almost isomorphic" to subshifts of finite type [5, 33, 34, 1, 2, 7, 8, 11, 12]. Markov partitions are also implicit in the invariant Cantor sets of the diffeomorphisms of the sphere constructed by Smale [36], the simplest one of which is the famous horseshoe map (see section 2.5, also [36] and [31, page 23]). In [36], Smale also proved the spectral decomposition theorem: If the diffeomorphism f satisfies Axiom A, then there is a unique way of writing $\Omega(f)$ as the finite union of disjoint, closed, invariant, indecomposable subsets

$$\Omega(f) = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \cdots \cup \Omega_k$$

in such a way that on each Ω_i , f is transitive, i.e., has a dense orbit. Further, Bowen showed that

$$\Omega_i = X_{1,i} \cup \cdots \cup X_{n_i,i},$$

with the $X_{j,i}$ s pairwise disjoint closed sets, $f(X_{j,i}) = X_{j+1,i}$ ($X_{n_i+1,i} = X_{1,i}$) and $f^{n_i}|_{X_{j,i}}$ topologically mixing [9]. It is also shown by Bowen in [9] that if the diffeomorphism f satisfies Axiom A, then the topological entropy $h(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log N_n(f)$, where $N_n(f)$ is the number of fixed points of f_n , i.e., periodic points of period n .

In the ergodic theory of uniformly hyperbolic diffeomorphisms developed by Sinai [35], Ruelle [29] and Bowen [12, 10], it was shown that for a transitive Axiom A attractor there is a unique invariant measure which can be characterized by the metric entropy being equal to the sum of positive Lyapunov exponents. Such invariant measure is called SRB measure.

Huang is partially supported by NNSF of China (11225105, 11431012, 11571335). Lian is partially supported by NNSF of China (11671279, 11541003).

On nonuniform hyperbolic theory, in his remarkable paper [20] A. Katok proved, roughly speaking, the following: Let f be a C^2 diffeomorphism of a compact Riemannian manifold, and let μ be an f -invariant ergodic Borel probability measure. Assume that (f, μ) has nonzero Lyapunov exponents and positive metric entropy. Then horseshoes are present, and that implies, among other things, existence of an abundance of periodic points. More precisely, the diffeomorphism f has a closed invariant set Γ such that $f|_\Gamma$ is topologically conjugate to a topological Markov shift and the topological entropy $h(f|_\Gamma) > 0$, and also $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log N_n(f) \geq h_\mu(f)$, where $h_\mu(f)$ is the Metric entropy of (M, f, μ) introduced by Kolmogorov and Sinai [22, 32].

In [24], Lian and Young extended Katok's results to C^2 differentiable maps with a hyperbolic invariant measure supported by a compact invariant set in a separable Hilbert space. In their second paper [25], Lian and Young went much further and studied a C^2 semiflow in a Hilbert space and proved that if it has a hyperbolic invariant measure supported by a compact invariant set, then the positive entropy implies the existence of horseshoes. In this case, the semiflow has one simple zero Lyapunov exponent, which implies that the associated time-one map restricted to this invariant set is partially hyperbolic with one-dimensional center direction. This result is new even for flows generated by ordinary differential equations.

The proofs of the results obtained by Katok and Lian-Young rely on not only the positive of entropy but also the hyperbolic geometric structures of systems. The horseshoes are constructed by using stable and unstable manifolds. Recently, Huang and Lu studied the complicated dynamics of infinite dimensional random dynamical systems which include deterministic dynamical systems as their special cases in a Polish space. In [19], without assuming any hyperbolicity, they proved if a continuous random map has a positive topological entropy, then it contains a weak horseshoe (see also [18] for topological dynamical systems in compact metric spaces and [21] for C^* -dynamics).

In this paper, we intend to understand chaotic phenomena on quasi-periodic forced systems. A quasi-periodic forced system is the skew product of f and θ induces a map $\phi : M \times \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{T}^d$ such that for any $x \in M, \omega \in \mathbb{T}^d$

$$\phi(x, \omega) = (f(\omega)x, \theta\omega),$$

where M is compact C^∞ Riemannian manifold, θ is a minimal rotation on the torus $\mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $f : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \text{diff}^2(M)$ is a continuous map.

A quasi-periodic forced systems $(M \times \mathbb{T}^d, \phi)$ can be considered as a specific kind of partially hyperbolic system, whose each invariant Borel probability measure μ has some zero Lyapunov exponents. Hence the Katok's result ([20]) doesn't apply to the quasi-periodic forced systems $(M \times \mathbb{T}^d, \phi)$; and Lian and Young's results ([24], [25]) for semiflows or flows, which allow only one zero Lyapunov exponent associated to the flow direction, are not applicable to this case. In fact, the quasi-periodic forced systems $(M \times \mathbb{T}^d, \phi)$ has no periodic points, and so has no standard horseshoe.

To describe the periodic structure and the chaotic behavior accurately for quasi-periodic systems, we introduce the concepts of *random periodic orbit* and *random horseshoe* for ϕ in Section 2 by viewing ϕ as a random dynamical system over the metric dynamical system $(\mathbb{T}^d, \theta)$. Random periodic orbit can be viewed as a generalization of the concept of stationary orbit. As of our awareness, the concept of random periodic solutions was first introduces by Zhao and Zheng [37] for systems generated by random differential equations; while in this paper, random periodic orbit (Definition 2.1) can be defined equivalently, which can be viewed as graph of functions from the space $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d, M)$ endowed with the L^∞ -norm. Similar to case of autonomous

systems (Anosov diffeomorphisms, Axiom A maps, or non-uniform hyperbolic systems), we first show that the following Theorem holds:

Theorem A(Theorem 2.3): If ϕ is transitive and Anosov on fibers, $C(\mathbb{T}^d, M)$, the continuous maps from $\mathbb{T}^d$ to M , belongs to the closure of functions corresponding to random periodic points in $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d, M)$.

The main difficulties appear in non-autonomous systems is that the pseudo orbit derived from Poincaré Recurrence Theorem only provides returns in $M \times \mathbb{T}^d$, thus does not have shadowing property since hyperbolicity only happens on fibers which is identified by M . To overcome this difficulty, instead of looking at one single pseudo orbit, we construct a group of globally returned pseudo orbits for all $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^d$ in the same time. Behind this, equi-continuity of the driven system (rotation $\theta : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$) and uniform hyperbolicity on fibers play the key roles. **Theorem A** provides both a fundamental description of the dynamics of the system $(M \times \mathbb{T}^d, \phi)$ and a pathway to achieve the main aim of this paper:

Theorem B(part of Theorem 2.5): If ϕ is transitive and Anosov on fibers, then there exists a full random horseshoe.

Here, roughly speaking, "full random horseshoe" (Definition 2.2) can be viewed as an embedding of a two-sides symbolic dynamical system into $L^\infty(\Omega, M)$, which is a standard defined horseshoe in $L^\infty(\Omega, M)$. The proof of **Theorem B** mainly contains three steps, which still inherits the ideas from Katok [20]:

- Step I) Existence of invariant measures with positive entropy;
- Step II) Capturing entropy;
- Step III) Constructing Horseshoe by following up proper pseudo orbits.

In Section 4.3.1, we first apply Kifer and Gundlach's results [17] to derive the existence and uniqueness of the SRB measure, and then use Bogenschütz and Gundlach's results [13] further to show that such a SRB measure has full support. It is worth to mention that, in order to apply [17], one need the mixing property of the system, which motivates the proof of Spectral Decomposition Theorem (Theorem 2.4) in Section 4.2; and the proof of Theorem 2.4 is essentially depending on Theorem 2.3, which is similar as in the case of Axiom A systems.

In Section 4.3.2, we first prove a result on capturing entropy (Lemma 4.7) by modifying an abstract result from [25] (Lemma 17), which together with the Shadowing Lemma play the key roles in constructing the random horseshoe. Section 4.3.3 shows that random horseshoe induces a standard horseshoe in the space of Borel probability measures on $M \times \mathbb{T}^d$. Section 4.3.4 contains the construction of a weak horseshoe with bounded hitting times, whose definition is given by 2.8.

Section 5 gives a type of quasi-periodic systems which is Anosov and transitive, thus all the main theorems derived in this paper are applicable there. Another interesting observation based on this example is that the function corresponding to random periodic points are **NOT** necessarily continuous. Actually, we have shown that under certain conditions, such systems have no periodic point which is graph of continuous functions from sample space $\mathbb{T}^d$ (for the example $d = 1$) to phase space (for the example $M = \mathbb{T}^2$). This tells that, in general, one can not expects that random periodic points persist smoothness, even the system is linear or smooth. Nevertheless, when the system is provided by small perturbations of uniformly

hyperbolic system, continuity of random periodic points could be guaranteed. For example, in [26], Liu studied the structural stability of Axiom A attractors under i.i.d. small random perturbations. The concepts of full random periodic orbits and full random horseshoe can be adapted into Liu's setting, whose existence is due to Theorem 1.1 of [26]. Moreover, under Liu's setting, one can also obtain that the graphs corresponding to random periodic orbits and points of random horseshoes are all continuous.

Finally, we mention some existing results which are closely relevant to the results derived in this paper, which may shed a light on further research directions, for example, chaotic behavior of Anosov flows driven by quasi-periodic noises. Of course, this is far from being a complete picture. In [16], Gundlach studied the random homoclinic points for smooth invertible random dynamical systems, and derived a random version of *Birkhoff-Smale Theorem*. This result is applicable to systems which are provided by small random perturbations of systems with homoclinic points. In the recent papers [27] and [28], Lu and Wang studied the chaos behavior of a type of differential equations driven by a nonautonomous force deduced from stochastic processes which are the truncated and classical Brownian motions in [27] and in [28] respectively. The authors extended the concept of topological horseshoe and showed that such structure (thus chaotic behavior) exists almost surely. Moreover, they further applied the results to randomly forced Duffing equations and pendulum equations. One interesting observation is that unlike the systems driven by a periodic or almost periodic forcing, the transversal intersections of the stable and unstable manifolds are neither necessary nor sufficient for chaotic dynamics to exist.

2. SETTINGS AND MAIN RESULTS

As discussed in the Introduction, we have in mind the following three settings: quasi-periodic forced systems, transitivity and Anosov on fibers.

Setting. Let M be a compact C^∞ Riemannian manifold with a metric d_M and (Ω, d_Ω) be a compact metric space. Let $\theta : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be a homeomorphism. We say θ is **equicontinuous** if there exist $c > 1$ and $\delta > 0$ such that for any $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega$ with $d_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2) < \delta$,

$$\frac{1}{c}d_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2) \leq d_\Omega(\theta^n \omega_1, \theta^n \omega_2) \leq cd_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2), \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

When θ is equicontinuous, if we take

$$\tilde{d}_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} d_\Omega(\theta^n \omega_1, \theta^n \omega_2), \forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega,$$

then $\tilde{d}_\Omega$ is a metric on Ω satisfying that

- $\tilde{d}_\Omega$ and d_Ω are equivalent;
- $\tilde{d}_\Omega(\theta^n \omega_1, \theta^n \omega_2) = \tilde{d}_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ for any $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Therefore, without losing any generality, we assume that

$$(1) \quad d_\Omega(\theta^n \omega_1, \theta^n \omega_2) = d_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2), \quad \forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H} = \text{diff}^2(M)$ be the space of C^2 diffeomorphisms on M with C^2 -norm, and $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a continuous map. Then the skew product of f and θ induces a map $\phi : M \times \Omega \rightarrow M \times \Omega$ such that for any $x \in M, \omega \in \Omega$

$$\phi(x, \omega) = (f(\omega)x, \theta\omega) = (f_\omega x, \theta\omega),$$

where we rewrite $f(\omega)$ as f_ω for sake of convenience. Then ϕ induces a cocycle over (Ω, θ) , which can be inductively define by

$$\begin{cases} \phi^0(x, \omega) &= id \\ \phi^n(x, \omega) &= (f_{\theta^{n-1}\omega} \phi^{n-1}(x, \omega), \theta^n \omega), \quad n \geq 1 \\ \phi^n(x, \omega) &= (f_{\theta^{n+1}\omega}^{-1} \phi^{n+1}(x, \omega), \theta^n \omega), \quad n \leq -1 \end{cases}$$

Note that $M \times \Omega$ is a compact metric space when we introduce a metric d by defining that

$$d((x_1, \omega_1), (x_2, \omega_2)) = d_M(x_1, x_2) + d_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2), \forall (x_1, \omega_1), (x_2, \omega_2) \in M \times \Omega.$$

It is obvious that ϕ and $D_M \phi$ are continuous on $M \times \Omega$.

Remark 2.1. *In this paper, we will consider (Ω, θ) to be an irrational rotation on torus (with infinite or finite dimensions). Then Ω is path connected and (Ω, θ^n) is uniquely ergodic for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also note that Theorem 2.3 still holds without assuming that (Ω, θ) is an irrational rotation on torus.*

The conditions transitivity and Anosov on fibers are defined as following: First ϕ is said to be **transitive** if there is a dense orbit (in $M \times \Omega$). Secondly ϕ is called **Anosov on fibers** if the following holds: for every $(x, \omega) \in M \times \Omega$ there is a splitting of the tangential fiber of $M_\omega := M \times \{\omega\}$ at x

$$T_x M_\omega = E_{(x, \omega)}^u \oplus E_{(x, \omega)}^s$$

which depends continuously on $(x, \omega) \in M \times \Omega$ with $\dim E_{(x, \omega)}^u > 0$ and satisfies that for every $(x, \omega) \in M \times \Omega$

$$Df_\omega(x) E_{(x, \omega)}^u = E_{\phi(x, \omega)}^u, \quad Df_\omega(x) E_{(x, \omega)}^s = E_{\phi(x, \omega)}^s,$$

where $Df_\omega(x)$ is the derivative of f_ω at point x , and

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} |Df_\omega(x)\xi| \geq e^{\lambda_0} |\xi|, & \forall \xi \in E_{(x, \omega)}^u, \\ |Df_\omega(x)\eta| \leq e^{-\lambda_0} |\eta|, & \forall \eta \in E_{(x, \omega)}^s, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda_0 > 0$ is a constant. Some quasi-periodic forced examples with transitivity and Anosov on fibers are given in Section 5.

Results. Under conditions transitivity and Anosov on fibers, it will be shown that a quasi-periodic forced system ϕ has an abundance of Random periodic points (Theorems 2.3), Spectral Decomposition Theorem (Theorem 2.4) and Random horseshoes (Theorem 2.5).

By viewing ϕ as a random dynamical system over the metric dynamical system (Ω, θ) , we now define random periodic orbits and random horseshoe for ϕ .

Definition 2.1. *If there exist n Borel measurable maps $\{g_i : \Omega \rightarrow M\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ such that for all $1 \leq i \leq n$*

$$\phi(\text{graph}(g_i)) = \text{graph}(g_{i+1 \bmod n}) \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

then we call $\{\text{graph}(g_i)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ a full random periodic orbit of ϕ with period n , and each $\text{graph}(g_i)$ a full random periodic point. Moreover if in additional, each g_i is continuous map then we call each $\text{graph}(g_i)$ a periodic continuous graph.

Let $\mathcal{S}_k = \{1, \dots, k\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the space of two sides sequences of k symbols, and σ be the (left-)shift map. Denote $L^\infty(\Omega)$ the space of Borel measurable maps from Ω to M endowed with the following metric

$$d_{L^\infty(\Omega)}(g_1, g_2) = \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} d_M(g_1(\omega), g_2(\omega)).$$

Definition 2.2. *If there exists a continuous embedding $\Psi : \mathcal{S}_k \rightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that the following hold*

- i) *There exists $L, C > 1$ such that for all $\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2 \in \mathcal{S}_k$ with $\hat{a}_1 \neq \hat{a}_2$*

$$\inf_{\omega \in \Omega} \{d_M(\Psi(\hat{a}_1)(\omega), \Psi(\hat{a}_2)(\omega))\} > C^{-1}L^{-l},$$

where $l = \inf \{ |n| \mid \hat{a}_1(n) \neq \hat{a}_2(n) \};$

- ii) *For all $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{S}_k$*

$$\phi(\text{graph}(\Psi(\hat{a}))) = \text{graph}(\Psi(\sigma\hat{a}));$$

then we call $\{\text{graph}(\Psi(\hat{a}))\}_{\hat{a} \in \mathcal{S}_k}$ a k -symbol full random horseshoe of ϕ .

Denote $C(\Omega, M)$ the space of continuous maps from Ω to M endowed with the sup norm. Now we state the main results of this paper:

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that ϕ is transitive and Anosov on fibers, and θ is equicontinuous. Then for any $g \in C(\Omega, M)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a full random periodic point $\{\text{graph}(\tilde{g})\}$ such that*

$$(3) \quad \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} \{d_M(g(\omega), \tilde{g}(\omega))\} \leq \epsilon,$$

We remark that there exist quasi-periodic forced systems which is transitivity and Anosov on fibers but has no periodic continuous graph (see Lemma 5.2 in Section 5). Note that since M is a compact manifold, M has finitely many disjoint connected components, for which we write $\{M_1, \dots, M_k\}$. These sets are both open and closed, and any two of them depart for a positive distance. We say $\phi : \Omega \times M \rightarrow \Omega \times M$ **topological mixing on fibers** if for any nonempty open sets $U, V \subset M$, there exists $N > 0$ such that for any $n \geq N$ and $\omega \in \Omega$

$$\phi^n(\{\omega\} \times U) \bigcap \{\theta^n \omega\} \times V \neq \emptyset.$$

The following theorem is called **Spectral Decomposition Theorem**.

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that ϕ is transitive and Anosov on fibers, and (Ω, θ) is an irrational rotation on torus. Then there exists a unique permutation, of $\{1, \dots, k\}$, τ , such that*

$$\phi(M_{\tau(i)} \times \Omega) = M_{\tau(i+1 \bmod k)} \times \Omega \text{ when } i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \text{ and } \tau(1) = 1.$$

Moreover, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $\phi^k|_{M_i \times \Omega}$ is topological mixing on fibers.

Under the assumption of Theorem 2.4, as $h : \Omega \rightarrow M$ being measurable, $\Omega_i = \{\omega \mid h(\omega) \in M_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, are measurable sets which are θ^k -invariant by Theorem 2.4. On the other hand, since θ^n is uniquely ergodic for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_i) = 1$ for some i . We summarize the results into the following corollary: Under the assumption of Theorem 2.4, if $\{\text{graph}(h)\}$ be a full random periodic point with period N then $N = nk$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h(\Omega') \subset M_i$ for a Borel subset Ω' of Ω and some $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, where $\mathbb{P}(\Omega') = 1$.

Theorem 2.5. *Assume that ϕ is transitive and Anosov on fibers, and (Ω, θ) is an irrational rotation on torus, then there exists a ϕ -invariant ergodic Borel probability measure (SRB measure) μ such that $h_\mu(\phi) > 0$ and $\text{supp} \mu = M \times \Omega$. Moreover, for any $\gamma > 0$, there exists $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following are satisfied*

- i) $\frac{1}{n} \ln k \geq h_\mu(\phi) - \gamma;$
ii) ϕ^n has a k -symbol full random horseshoe.

Another way to view the random horseshoe is to look at the dynamics in $\mathcal{M}(M \times \Omega)$ induced by the original system $(M \times \Omega, \phi)$, where $\mathcal{M}(M \times \Omega)$ is the space of Borel probability measures on $M \times \Omega$ endowed with the weak* topology. Recall that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = \mu$ in $\mathcal{M}(M \times \Omega)$ if the following is true

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{M \times \Omega} h d\mu_n = \int_{M \times \Omega} h d\mu \text{ for all } h \in C(M \times \Omega),$$

where $C(M \times \Omega)$ is the collection of continuous real functions defined on $M \times \Omega$. Since ϕ is a homeomorphism, $\phi^* : \mathcal{M}(M \times \Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(M \times \Omega)$ is defined by the following: for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(M \times \Omega)$

$$(4) \quad \phi^*(\mu)(A) = \mu(\phi^{-1}(A)) \text{ for all Borel } A \subset M \times \Omega.$$

A quick consequence of Theorem 2.5 is the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6. *Assume that ϕ is transitive and Anosov on fibers, and (Ω, θ) is an irrational rotation on torus. Let μ be a ϕ -invariant ergodic probability measure (SRB measure) μ with $h_\mu(\phi) > 0$ and $\text{supp} \mu = M \times \Omega$, then for any $\gamma > 0$, there exists $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following are satisfied*

- i) $\frac{1}{n} \ln k \geq h_\mu(\phi) - \gamma$;
- ii) $(\phi^*)^n$ has a bi-invariant horseshoe with k symbols.

By the statement " $(\phi^*)^n$ has a bi-invariant horseshoe with k symbols", we mean that there is a continuous embedding $\Psi : \mathcal{S}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(M \times \Omega)$ such that if $\Sigma = \Psi(\mathcal{S}_k)$, then $(\phi^*)^n|_\Sigma$ is one-to-one and is conjugate to σ . This is the standard definition for horseshoe with k -symbols, except that one can not talk about hyperbolicity in $\mathcal{M}(M \times \Omega)$. For general definitions of horseshoes, we refer the reader to [24].

Following from the recent result by Huang and Lu [19](see also [18, 21]), we know that the existence of an SRB measure also yields the existence of a full weak horseshoe since the entropy is positive. We state the definition of weak horseshoe in the following for sake of completeness.

Definition 2.7. *By a full weak horseshoe of two symbols we mean that there exist subsets $X_1, X_2 \subset M \times \Omega$ such that the following properties hold*

- (1) X_1 and X_2 are non-empty closed subsets of $M \times \Omega$ and

$$\inf\{d((x_1, \omega_1), (x_2, \omega_2)) \mid (x_1, \omega_1) \in X_1, (x_2, \omega_2) \in X_2\} > 0.$$

- (2) there exists a constant $b > 0$ and $J \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that the limit

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{m} |J \cap \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}|$$

exists and is larger than or equal to b (positive density), and for any $s \in \{1, 2\}^J$, there exists $(x_s, \omega_s) \in M \times \Omega$ with $\phi^j(x_s, \omega_s) \in X_{s(j)}$ for any $j \in J$.

In fact, under the setting of this paper, as a special case, one can expect the weak horseshoe to have more properties. For sake of convenience, we introduce a new definition of weak horseshoe for random case in the following.

Definition 2.8. *By a random full weak horseshoe of two symbols with bounded hitting time we mean that there exist subsets $U_1, U_2 \subset M$ such that the following properties hold*

(1) U_1 and U_2 are non-empty closed subsets of M and

$$\inf\{d_M(x_1, x_2) \mid x \in U_1, x_2 \in U_2\} > 0.$$

(2) There exists a constant $K > 0$ and Borel functions $n_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$0 < n_{i+1}(\omega) - n_i(\omega) < K, \text{ for } \mathbb{P} - \text{a.e. } \omega \in \Omega \text{ and } i \in \mathbb{N},$$

and for any $s \in \mathcal{S}_2^+ := \{1, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, there exists a Borel function $x_s : \Omega \rightarrow M$ with $\pi_M \phi^{n_j(\omega)}(x_s(\omega), \omega) \in U_{s(j)}$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 2.9. Assume that ϕ is transitive and Anosov on fibers, and (Ω, θ) is an irrational rotation on torus, then there exists a random full weak horseshoe of two symbols with bounded hitting time.

3. PRELIMINARY

Throughout this section, we assume that ϕ is transitive and Anosov on fibers.

3.1. Invariant Manifolds. We define the local stable manifolds and unstable manifolds as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} W_\epsilon^s(x, \omega) &= \{y \in M \mid d_M(\pi_M \phi^n(y, \omega), \pi_M \phi^n(x, \omega)) \leq \epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq 0\} \\ W_\epsilon^u(x, \omega) &= \{y \in M \mid d_M(\pi_M \phi^n(y, \omega), \pi_M \phi^n(x, \omega)) \leq \epsilon \text{ for all } n \leq 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi_M : M \times \Omega \rightarrow M$ is the nature coordinate projection. The following lemma can mainly be viewed as a special version of Theorem 3.1 from [17]. The only difference is that these local manifolds depends on ω measurably in [17] while depends on ω continuously in this paper. The reason is that the invariant splitting $E_{(x, \omega)}^u \oplus E_{(x, \omega)}^s$ varies continuously on both x and ω , and ϕ and $D_M \phi$ are also continuous on ω . The proof of iii) employs standard ideas for which we refer to the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [23]. So the proof of Lemma 3.1 is omitted.

Lemma 3.1. For any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$, there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$, the following hold:

- i) $W_\epsilon^s(x, \omega), W_\epsilon^u(x, \omega)$ are C^2 embedded discs for all $(x, \omega) \in M \times \Omega$ with $T_x W^\tau(x, \omega) = E_{(x, \omega)}^\tau$, $\tau = u, s$;
- ii) $d_M(\pi_M \phi^n(x, \omega), \pi_M \phi^n(y, \omega)) \leq e^{-n\lambda} d_M(x, y)$ for $y \in W_\epsilon^s(x, \omega)$, $n \geq 0$, and $d_M(\pi_M \phi^{-n}(x, \omega), \pi_M \phi^{-n}(y, \omega)) \leq e^{-n\lambda} d_M(x, y)$ for $y \in W_\epsilon^u(x, \omega)$, $n \geq 0$;
- iii) $W_\epsilon^s(x, \omega), W_\epsilon^u(x, \omega)$ vary continuously on (x, ω) (in C^1 topology).

The local stable and unstable manifolds can be used to construct the global stable and unstable manifolds respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} W^s(x, \omega) &= \{y \in M_\omega \mid d_M(\pi_M \phi^n(y, \omega), \pi_M \phi^n(x, \omega)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\} \\ W^u(x, \omega) &= \{y \in M_\omega \mid d_M(\pi_M \phi^n(y, \omega), \pi_M \phi^n(x, \omega)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow -\infty\}, \end{aligned}$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} W^s(x, \omega) &= \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi^{-n}(W_\epsilon^s(\phi^n(x, \omega))), \\ W^u(x, \omega) &= \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi^n(W_\epsilon^u(\phi^{-n}(x, \omega))), \end{aligned}$$

where ϵ is an arbitrarily fixed small positive number as in 3.1. The following lemma provides local canonical coordinates on M_ω .

Lemma 3.2. *For any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ there is a $\delta \in (0, \epsilon)$ such that for any $x, y \in M$ with $d_M(x, y) < \delta$ $W_\epsilon^s(x, \omega) \cap W_\epsilon^u(y, \omega)$ consists of a single point, which is denoted by $[x, y]_\omega$. Furthermore*

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : \{(x, y, \omega) \in M \times M \times \Omega \mid d_M(x, y) < \delta\} \rightarrow M \text{ is continuous.}$$

We say the system $(M \times \Omega, \phi)$ has **local product structure** with size δ .

Proof. This simply follows iii) of Lemma 3.1, the continuous (thus uniformly continuous) dependence of $W_\epsilon^s(x, \omega)$, $W_\epsilon^u(x, \omega)$ on (x, ω) , and the uniform continuity of the invariant splitting $E_{(x, \omega)}^u \oplus E_{(x, \omega)}^s$. For details, we refer to [12]. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *There is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any $\omega \in \Omega$ and $x, y \in M_\omega$ with $y \neq x$, the following holds:*

$$d_M(\pi_M \phi^k(x, \omega), \pi_M \phi^k(y, \omega)) > \epsilon \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. Take ϵ smaller than ϵ_0 as in Lemma 3.1. Otherwise, $(y, \omega) \in W_\epsilon^s(x, \omega) \cap W_\epsilon^u(x, \omega)$ therefore $y = x$. $\square$

3.2. Shadowing Lemma. We first introduce some concepts needed here. For any $\alpha > 0$, an orbit $\{(x_i, \theta^i \omega)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset M \times \Omega$ is called (ω, α) -**pseudo orbit** if for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$d_M(f_{\theta^i \omega}(x_i), x_{i+1}) < \alpha.$$

Lemma 3.4. *For any $\beta > 0$, there exists $\alpha > 0$ for any (ω, α) -pseudo orbit $\{(x_i, \theta^i \omega)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, there is a true orbit $\{(y_i, \theta^i \omega)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of ϕ such that*

$$\sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} d_M(y_i, x_i) < \beta.$$

$\{(y_i, \theta^i \omega)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is called (ω, β) -**shadowing orbit** of $\{(x_i, \theta^i \omega)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

Further more, there is a $\beta_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \beta < \beta_0$, the above true orbit is unique.

Proof. The proof follows the proof of Proposition 3.6 in [17]. For sake of convenience, we always choose $\beta_0 < \frac{1}{3}\delta$, where δ is the one in Lemma 3.2 for $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0$. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. *For a given $\beta \in (0, \beta_0)$, let α be as in Lemma 3.4 corresponding to β . Then for any $\tau > 0$, there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that given any two (ω, α) -pseudo orbits $\{(x_i, \theta^i \omega)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{(x'_i, \theta^i \omega)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ which are (ω, β) -shadowed by two uniquely defined true orbits $\{(y_i, \theta^i \omega)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{(y'_i, \theta^i \omega)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ respectively, for any $N > N_0$ if $x_i = x'_i$, $\forall i \in [-N, N]$, then $d_M(y_0, y'_0) < \tau$.*

Proof. Note that if $x_i = x'_i$, $\forall i \in [-N, N]$, then $d_M(y_i, y'_i) < 2\beta$, $\forall i \in [-N, N]$. Let $z = [y_0, y'_0]_\omega$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is defined in 3.2 for a fixed δ corresponding to $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0$. By the definition of local unstable manifold and ii) of Lemma 3.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d_M(z, y'_0) &\leq e^{-N\lambda} d_M(\pi_M \phi^N(z, \omega), y'_N) \\ &\leq e^{-N\lambda} (d_M(y_N, y'_N) + d_M(\pi_M \phi^N(z, \omega), y_N)) \\ &\leq e^{-N\lambda} (2\beta + d_M(z, y_0)) \\ &\leq e^{-N\lambda} (2\beta + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0). \end{aligned}$$

Same argument is applicable to $d_M(z, y_0)$ if reverse the time. Therefore

$$d_M(y_0, y'_0) \leq 2e^{-N\lambda}(2\beta + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0).$$

The proof is done. $\square$

4. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this section, we will assume that ϕ is transitive and Anosov on fibers.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 2.3. In this subsection, we only assume that θ is equicontinuous.

Fix a given $g \in C(\Omega, M)$, and consider a finite Borel partition $\xi = \{\xi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq p}$ of Ω , of which each element contains an nonempty open set and has a finite diameter. Such a partition exists because of compactness of Ω . For any $\omega \in \Omega$, define $\xi(\omega)$ the element in ξ which contains ω , and also denote that

$$B_g(\xi_i, \delta) := \{(x, \omega) \mid \omega \in \xi_i, d_M(x, g(\omega)) < \delta\}.$$

Note that $\bigcup_{\xi_i \in \xi} B_g(\xi_i, \delta)$ is a δ -neighborhood of $\text{graph}(g)$, which is denoted by $B_g(\delta)$.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $\delta > 0$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $\xi_i \in \xi$, there exists $(x_i, \omega_i) \in B_g(\xi_i, \delta)$ such that*

$$\phi^m(x_i, \omega_i) \in B_g(\xi_i, \delta).$$

Proof. Since ϕ is transitive, there exists (x_0, ω_0) such that the orbit of (x_0, ω_0) , $\{\phi^n(x_0, \omega_0)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, is dense in $M \times \Omega$. By the finiteness of the partition, we have that there exists a point (x, ω) in the interior of $B_g(\xi_1, \delta)$ and an $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\phi^{n_i}(x, \omega) \in \text{interior of } B_g(\xi_i, \delta) \text{ for some } n_i \in [1, m_0], \forall i \in [2, p].$$

By the continuity of ϕ and the finiteness of the partition, we have that there exists an small open neighbourhood of (x, ω) in $M \times \Omega$, which is denoted by U , such that

$$U \subset B_g(\xi_1, \delta) \text{ and } \phi^{n_i}(U) \subset \text{interior of } B_g(\xi_i, \delta), \forall i \in [2, p].$$

Then, by the transitivity of the system, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x', \omega') \in U$ such that $\phi^m(x', \omega') \in U$. Note that

$$\phi^m(\phi^{n_i}(x', \omega')) = \phi^{n_i}(\phi^m(x', \omega')) \in \phi^{n_i}(U) \subset \text{interior of } B_g(\xi_i, \delta), \forall i \in [2, p].$$

We complete the proof by letting $(x_1, \omega_1) = (x', \omega')$ and $(x_i, \omega_i) = \phi^{n_i}(x', \omega')$ for $i \in [2, p]$. $\square$

The next, we will construct a (v, δ) -**pseudo orbit** for $v \in \Omega$, $g \in C(\Omega, M)$, and $\delta > 0$. Given $\delta > 0$, by Lemma 4.1, there exists $m = m(\delta, g, \phi) > 0$ and $(x_i, \omega_i) \in B_g(\xi_i, \delta)$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ such that

$$(5) \quad \phi^m(x_i, \omega_i) \in B_g(\xi_i, \delta) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq p.$$

For $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, suppose that $\theta^{lm}v \in \xi_{i_l}$, then we define

$$(6) \quad (y_j, v_j) = \begin{cases} (g(\theta^{lm}v), \theta^{lm}v), & \text{when } j = lm \\ (\pi_M \phi^{j-lm}(x_{i_l}, \omega_{i_l}), \theta^j v), & \text{when } j \in [lm+1, (l+1)m-1] \end{cases}.$$

Lemma 4.2. *For any $\epsilon' > 0$, there exists $\delta'(\phi, g, \epsilon') > 0$ such that if δ and the diameter of the partition ξ are less than δ' , the pseudo orbit defined by (6) starting from $(y, v) \in \text{graph}(g)$ is a (v, ϵ') -pseudo orbit.*

Proof. Noting that Ω being compact, then g is uniformly continuous. Therefore, for any $\epsilon_1 > 0$, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for any $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega$ if $d_\Omega(\omega_1, \omega_2) < \delta_1$ then

$$(7) \quad d_M(g(\omega_1), g(\omega_2)) < \epsilon_1.$$

Also note that ϕ is uniformly continuous, so for any $\epsilon_2 > 0$, there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ such that for any $(x_1, \omega_1), (x_2, \omega_2) \in M \times \Omega$ if $d((x_1, \omega_1), (x_2, \omega_2)) < \delta_2$ then

$$(8) \quad \max\{d(\phi(x_1, \omega_1), \phi(x_2, \omega_2)), d(\phi^{-1}(x_1, \omega_1), \phi^{-1}(x_2, \omega_2))\} < \epsilon_2.$$

Note that $d_\Omega(\theta^j v, \theta^{j-lm} \omega_{i_0})$ is less than the diameter of the partition ξ (which is less than $\delta'(\phi, g, \epsilon')$) for all $j \in [lm, (l+1)m]$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ by (1). For given $(y, v) \in \text{graph}(g)$ with $v \in \xi_{i_0}$, we have the following:

a) When $j \in [lm+2, (l+1)m-1]$, taking $\delta' < \delta_2$, then (8) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d_M(y_j, \pi_M \phi(y_{j-1}, v_{j-1})) &= d_M(y_j, \pi_M \phi(y_{j-1}, \theta^{j-1} v)) \\ &\leq d(\phi(y_{j-1}, \theta^{j-lm-1} \omega_{i_l}), \phi(y_{j-1}, \theta^{j-lm-1} v_{lm})) \\ &\leq \epsilon_2; \end{aligned}$$

b) When $j = lm+1$, taking $\delta' < \frac{1}{2}\delta_2$ (thus $\delta < \frac{1}{2}\delta_2$), then $d((x_{i_l}, \omega_{i_l}), (g(\theta^{lm} v), \theta^{lm} v)) < \delta_2$, therefore (8) implies that

$$d_M(y_j, \pi_M \phi(y_{j-1}, v_{j-1})) = d_M(\pi_M \phi(x_{i_l}, \omega_{i_l}), \pi_M \phi(g(\theta^{lm} v), \theta^{lm} v)) < \epsilon_2;$$

c) For $j = (l+1)m$, taking $\delta' < \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$, then (7), (5), and (8) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &d_M(y_j, \pi_M \phi(y_{j-1}, v_{j-1})) \\ &= d_M(g(\theta^j v), \pi_M \phi(y_{j-1}, v_{j-1})) \\ &\leq d_M(g(\theta^m v_{lm}), g(\theta^m \omega_{i_l})) + d_M(g(\theta^m \omega_{i_l}), \pi_M \phi^m(x_{i_l}, \omega_{i_l})) \\ &\quad + d_M(\pi_M \phi^m(x_{i_l}, \omega_{i_l}), \pi_M \phi(y_{j-1}, \theta^{m-1} v_{lm})) \\ &\leq \epsilon_1 + \delta + d_M(\pi_M \phi(y_{j-1}, \theta^{m-1} \omega_{i_l}), \pi_M \phi(y_{j-1}, \theta^{m-1} v_{lm})) \\ &\leq \epsilon_1 + \delta + \epsilon_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the required estimate can be achieved if one choose $\epsilon_1 + \delta + \epsilon_2 < \epsilon'$ and take a $\delta' > 0$ which satisfies all the proposed conditions in a), b), and c) above. So for such $\delta' > 0$ if δ and $\text{diam}(\xi)$ are less than δ' , the pseudo-orbit defined by (6) is a (v, ϵ') -pseudo orbit. $\square$

The next lemma will be used later in Section 4.3.2.

Lemma 4.3. *For any $\epsilon' > 0$ and $L > 0$, there exists $\delta'(\phi, L, \epsilon') > 0$ such that for any $g \in C(\Omega, M)$ with $\text{Lip } g \leq L$ if δ and the diameter of the partition ξ are less than δ' , the pseudo orbit defined by (6) starting from $(y, v) \in \text{graph}(g)$ is a (v, ϵ') -pseudo orbit.*

Proof. In the proof of Lemma 4.2 above, the dependence of δ' on g is given by (7) which appears in part c). So the exact property of “ g ” being involved in this lemma is how uniformly continuous it is. Therefore, under the setting of the current lemma, the exactly same argument can be applied here, in which “ δ ” depends on the Lipchitz constant “ L ” rather than the choice of particular map “ g ”. The detailed proof is omitted. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.3. For a given small $\epsilon > 0$ and $g \in C(\Omega, M)$, choose $0 < \beta < \min\{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon, \beta_0\}$. By Lemma 3.4, there exists a corresponding $\alpha > 0$ for β . Then, by applying Lemma 4.2, for $\epsilon' = \alpha$ there exists a $\delta' > 0$ such that for any $v \in \Omega$ and $\delta \in (0, \delta')$,

there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ which is depending on δ, g, ϕ only and a (v, α) -pseudo orbit defined by (6), which is denoted by $\{(y_i, \theta^n v)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, such that

$$(y_{km}, \theta^{km} v) \in \text{graph}(g), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By applying Lemma 3.4, there is a unique true orbit $\{(y'_i, \theta^i v)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ which is (v, β) -shadowing $\{(y_i, \theta^i v)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Note that such y'_0 is depending on v and this v is arbitrarily chosen, therefore, by repeating the previous procedure, one can define a map $\tilde{g} : \Omega \rightarrow M$ by letting

$$\tilde{g}(v) = y'_0, \quad \forall v \in \Omega.$$

Note that (3) holds automatically by (6) for $j = 0$ and the choice of β . It is also obvious that $\{\phi^i(\text{graph}(\tilde{g}))\}_{i=0,1,\dots,m-1}$ is a full random periodic orbit with period m as long as $\tilde{g}$ being measurable: otherwise, there is an $\omega \in \Omega$ such that the (ω, α) -pseudo orbit starting from $(g(\omega), \omega)$ is (ω, β) -shadowed by two distinct true orbits, which contradicts Lemma 3.4 as $\beta < \beta_0$.

It remains to show the measurability of $\tilde{g}$, which is also following the uniqueness.

First, for the given $g \in C(\Omega, M)$, partition ξ of Ω , and the return time m above, we define maps $g_j : \Omega \rightarrow M$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ as the following:

$$(9) \quad g_j(\omega) = \begin{cases} g(\omega), & \text{when } j = lm, \\ \pi_M \phi^{j-lm}(x_{i_l}, \omega_{i_l}), & \text{when } j \in [lm+1, (l+1)m-1] \text{ and } \theta^{lm-j} \omega \in \xi_{i_l}, \end{cases}$$

where $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see that all the g_j s are measurable, since they are either continuous functions ($= g$) or simple functions.

Second, note that for any $v \in \Omega$, the orbit $\{\phi^i(\tilde{g}(v), v)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is (v, β) -shadowing the (v, α) -pseudo orbit $\{(y_i, \theta^i v)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ defined by (6). Then, by (9), one has that for any $v \in \Omega$

$$d_M(\pi_M \phi^i(\tilde{g}(v), v), g_i(\theta^i v)) < \beta.$$

Therefore, we have that

$$\text{graph}(\tilde{g}) \subset \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\phi^{-j}(B_{g_j}(\beta))).$$

By the choice of β which implies the uniqueness of the $(\cdot, \beta)$ -shadowing true orbit, one can conclude that

$$\text{graph}(\tilde{g}) = \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\phi^{-j}(B_{g_j}(\beta))),$$

since the orbit starting from each point $(y, v) \in \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\phi^{-j}(B_{g_j}(\beta)))$ will be (v, β) -shadowing the (v, α) -pseudo orbit $\{(y_i, \theta^i v)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ defined by (6).

Finally, we define a multivalued function $\tilde{g}_i : \Omega \rightarrow 2^M$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ as the following:

$$\tilde{g}_i(v) := \bigcap_{-i \leq j \leq i} \pi_M \phi^{-j} \left\{ (x, \theta^j v) \mid d_M(x, y_j(v)) \leq \beta \right\}, \quad \text{for } v \in \Omega.$$

It is easy to see that for all $v \in \Omega$, $\tilde{g}_i(v)$ is a non-empty closed subset of M because of the continuity of $\phi(x, \omega)$ on x .

For a given open set $U \subset M$ and any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\Gamma_i^-(U) := \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \tilde{g}_i(\omega) \cap U \neq \emptyset\},$$

which can be rewritten as the following

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_i^-(U) &= \left\{ \omega \mid U \cap \left(\bigcap_{-i \leq j \leq i} \pi_M \phi^{-j} \left(\left\{ (x, \theta^j \omega) \mid d_M(x, y_j(\omega)) \leq \beta \right\} \right) \right) \neq \emptyset \right\} \\
&= \bigcap_{-i \leq j \leq i} \left\{ \omega \mid U \cap \left(\pi_M \phi^{-j} \left(\left\{ (x, \theta^j \omega) \mid d_M(x, y_j(\omega)) \leq \beta \right\} \right) \right) \neq \emptyset \right\} \\
&= \bigcap_{-i \leq j \leq i} \pi_\Omega \left(\phi^j(U \times \Omega) \cap \overline{B_{g_j}(\beta)} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{B_{g_j}(\beta)} := \bigcup_{v \in \Omega} \left\{ (x, \theta^j v) \mid d_M(x, y_j(v)) \leq \beta \right\}$. Since g_j is either a continuous function (when $j = lm$) or a simple function (when $j \neq lm$), $\overline{B_{g_j}(\beta)}$ is clearly a Borel subset in $M \times \Omega$; while $\phi^j(U \times \Omega)$ is an open subset in $M \times \Omega$ because ϕ is continuous. Therefore, one has that $\Gamma_i^-(U) \subset \Omega$ is Borel, which is true for arbitrary open set U and $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by applying Theorem C.1 (Let $Y = M$, $X = \Omega$, and $\mathcal{L}$ be the Borel σ -algebra of Ω), one obtain that for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde{g}_i$ produces a measurable selection $\tilde{g}'_i : \Omega \rightarrow M$ satisfying that

$$\text{graph}(\tilde{g}'_i) \subset \text{graph}(\tilde{g}_i).$$

As $i \rightarrow \infty$, by Lemma 3.5, we have that $\tilde{g}'_i$ converges to $\tilde{g}$ pointwisely, thus $\tilde{g}$ is measurable.

The proof is completed.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 2.4. In this section, we investigate the structure of a quasi-periodic forced system with transitivity and Anosov property on fibers to derive the so called spectral decomposition. In the whole section, we will assume that Ω is connected, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, θ^n is uniquely ergodic and $\mathbb{P}$ is the ergodic probability measure.

By Theorem 2.3, we have that for any $g \in C(\Omega, M)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a full random periodic point $\{\text{graph}(\tilde{g})\}$ satisfying (3). For this $\tilde{g}$, let

$$X_{\tilde{g}} = \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} \{(x, \omega) \mid x \in \overline{W^u(\tilde{g}(\omega), \omega)}\},$$

where $\overline{W^u(\tilde{g}(\omega), \omega)}$ is the closure of the global unstable manifold of $(\tilde{g}(\omega), \omega)$ in (M, ω) . Since Ω is connected, one has that $g(\Omega)$ is connected thus belongs to one of the M_i 's, where $\{M_1, \dots, M_k\}$ are the connected components of M . At the beginning, we first propose the following conditions on the main framework and some parameters:

C1: By taking ϵ small enough, one can make $\tilde{g}(\Omega)$ belong to the same connected component. Additionally, we also require $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}\delta$ where δ is as in Lemma 3.2 corresponding to a fixed small $\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0 > 0$ with ϵ_0 being smaller than the minimum separation of $\{M_1, \dots, M_k\}$. In the rest of the proof, we fix such ϵ and δ , and without losing any generality, we also suppose that $g(\Omega), \tilde{g}(\Omega) \subset M_1$.

First, we show that

$$(10) \quad X_{\tilde{g}} = M_1 \times \Omega.$$

The next lemma is the key result needed in the proof:

Lemma 4.4. *For any $(x, \omega) \in M_1 \times \Omega$ and $g \in C(\Omega, M_1)$, there exists a nonempty open set $A \subset \Omega \setminus \{\omega\}$ and a function $g' \in C(\Omega, M_1)$ such that*

$$(11) \quad g'(\omega) = x \text{ and } g'|_A = g|_A.$$

The proof of this lemma may be standard, we give it here for sake of completeness.

Proof. First, there exists a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M_1$ such that $\gamma(0) = g(\omega)$ and $\gamma(1) = x$. Note that there exists finitely many numbers $0 = s_0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n = 1$ such that $\gamma(s_i)$ and $\gamma(s_{i+1})$ are in a same chart of the atlas of M , say (U_i, ψ_i) , for all $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. Consider an open ball centered on ω in Ω , $B(\omega, R_1)$ with R_1 small enough so that $\mathbb{P}(\overline{B(\omega, R_1)}) < 1$ and $g(B(\omega, R_1)) \subset U_1$. Note that ω and $\Omega \setminus B(\omega, \frac{1}{2}R_1)$ are disjoint closed sets. By Urysohn's lemma, there exists a continuous function $f_1 : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$f_1|_{\Omega \setminus B(\omega, \frac{1}{2}R_1)} = 0 \text{ and } f_1(\omega) = 1.$$

Then, we can define

$$g_1(v) = \begin{cases} g_0(v) \text{ (where } g_0 := g), & \text{if } v \notin B(\omega, \frac{1}{2}R_1) \\ \psi_1^{-1}[f_1(v)\psi_1(\gamma(s_1)) + (1 - f_1(v))\psi_1(g_0(v))], & \text{if } v \in B(\omega, \frac{1}{2}R_1) \end{cases}.$$

It is obvious that g_1 is continuous and satisfies that

$$g_1(\omega) = \gamma(s_1) \text{ and } A_1 := \{\omega | g_1(\omega) = g(\omega)\} \text{ contains an open set.}$$

Inductively, one can construct g_{i+1} based on g_i by using the exactly same argument above. To guarantee the existence of A , it is sufficient to take $R_{i+1} < R_i$. In this case, one can take $A = \Omega \setminus \overline{B(\omega, \frac{1}{2}R_1)}$. $\square$

Remark 4.1. *Actually, it is easy to see that since $\mathbb{P}$ is not atomic, $\mathbb{P}(A)$ can be taken arbitrarily close to 1.*

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 2.4. For an arbitrary $(x, \omega) \in M_1 \times \Omega$, and g chosen at the beginning of this subsection, by Lemma 4.4, there exists $g' \in C(\Omega, M)$ satisfying (11). For a small $\epsilon' \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\delta)$, where δ is as in C1). We apply Theorem 2.3 to g' , and then derive that there exists a full random periodic point $\{graph(\tilde{g}')\}$ such that

$$(12) \quad g'(\Omega) \subset M_1 \text{ and } \sup_{v \in A} \{d_M(\tilde{g}'(v), \tilde{g}(v))\} \leq 2\epsilon'.$$

Let m and m' be the periods of $\{graph(\tilde{g})\}$ and $\{graph(\tilde{g}')\}$ respectively. Note that $\theta^{-mm'}$ is $\mathbb{P}$ -ergodic since θ is invertible and $\theta^{mm'}$ is $\mathbb{P}$ -ergodic, and each $\omega \in \Omega$ is a $\theta^{mm'}$ -minimal point. That means there is an infinite increasing sequence of positive integers, $0 < n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$, such that $\theta^{-n_i mm'} \omega \in A \subset \Omega$ for $i \geq 1$ as long as A having nonempty interior.

For $i \geq 1$, let

$$\begin{aligned} x'_i &= [\pi_M \phi^{-n_i mm'}(\tilde{g}'(\omega), \omega), \tilde{g}(\theta^{-n_i mm'} \omega)]_{\theta^{-n_i mm'} \omega} \\ &= W_{\frac{\epsilon_0}{2}}^s(\phi^{-n_i mm'}(\tilde{g}'(\omega), \omega)) \cap W_{\frac{\epsilon_0}{2}}^u(\tilde{g}(\theta^{-n_i mm'} \omega), \theta^{-n_i mm'} \omega). \end{aligned}$$

Note that, by Lemma 3.1, one has that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi^{n_i mm'}(x'_i, \theta^{-n_i mm'} \omega) \rightarrow (\tilde{g}'(\omega), \omega) \text{ and } \phi^{n_i mm'}(x'_i, \theta^{-n_i mm'} \omega) \in W^u(\tilde{g}(\omega), \omega),$$

which implies that

$$(\tilde{g}'(\omega), \omega) \in \overline{W^u(\tilde{g}(\omega), \omega)} \subset X_{\tilde{g}}.$$

As ϵ' can be taken arbitrarily small, we have that $(x, \omega) \in X_{\tilde{g}}$, thus $M_1 \times \Omega \subset X_{\tilde{g}}$ by the arbitrariness of (x, ω) .

Next, we show that

$$\phi(M_1 \times \Omega) \cap M_1 \times \Omega = \emptyset, \text{ if } k \geq 2.$$

This is because ϕ^{-1} is continuous and $M_1 \times \Omega$ is both open and closed, which imply that $\phi(M_1 \times \Omega) \cap M_1 \times \Omega$ is both open and closed thus is either $M_1 \times \Omega$ or $\emptyset$. Since ϕ is transitive, $M_1 \times \Omega$ should not be an ϕ -invariant set as long as M has more than one connected components. The same argument implies that $\Omega \times \bigcup_{i \in S} M_i$ is not ϕ -invariant, where $S \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $S \neq \{1, \dots, k\}$. So, there exists a unique permutation, τ , satisfies the required property.

Finally, we show that $\phi^k|_{M_i \times \Omega}$ is **topological mixing on fibers**, for which we still work on $\phi^k|_{M_1 \times \Omega}$. Roughly speaking, the proof is based on the fact that the global stable manifold of an full random periodic point is dense in $M_1 \times \Omega$. The following lemma is the key result needed to derive the mixing property.

Lemma 4.5. *For any $\epsilon_1 > 0$ and $g \in C(\Omega, M_1)$, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that the following holds:*

For any full random periodic point $graph(\tilde{g}) \in B_g(\delta_1)$ with period l , $\epsilon'_1 > 0$ and $h \in C(\Omega, M_1)$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and a measurable function $h_1 : \Omega \rightarrow M_1$ satisfying that

$$graph(h_1) \subset B_h(\epsilon'_1) \text{ and } \phi^{nl}(graph(h_1)) \subset B_g(\epsilon_1), \forall n > N.$$

Proof. Here we only need to take $\delta_1 \in (0, \frac{1}{6}\epsilon_1)$ small enough such that any $(\omega, 2\delta_1)$ -pseudo orbit can be $(\omega, \frac{1}{3}\epsilon_1)$ -shadowed by a unique true orbit. This can be done by Lemma 3.4, and in the rest of this proof we fix such a δ_1 .

It is easy to see that the existence of $\tilde{g}$ follows Theorem 2.3 directly. For an arbitrarily fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, by Lemma 4.4, there exists an nonempty open set $A_\omega \subset \Omega$ and $g'_\omega \in C(\Omega, M)$ such that

$$g'_\omega(\omega) = h(\omega) \text{ and } g'_\omega|_{A_\omega} = g|_{A_\omega}.$$

By applying Theorem 2.3, we have that there is a full random periodic point $graph(\tilde{g}'_\omega)$ with period l_ω such that

$$graph(\tilde{g}'_\omega) \in B_{g'_\omega} \left(\frac{1}{3}\epsilon'_1 \right),$$

where, without losing any generality, we assume that $\epsilon'_1 \in (0, \delta_1)$.

Let $B_\omega \subset A_\omega$ be an open ball in Ω with radius $r_\omega > 0$, and C_ω be an open neighborhood of ω with radius $\frac{1}{2}r_\omega$. Since $\theta^{l_\omega l}$ is an isometric map on Ω and any nonempty open subset of Ω is not $\mathbb{P}$ -null set, there is an infinite set $I_\omega \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds

$$\theta^{nl_\omega l}(C_\omega) \subset B_\omega, \forall n \in I_\omega.$$

For $v \in C_\omega$ and $n \in I_\omega$, define that

$$(y_i, v_i) = \begin{cases} \phi^i(\tilde{g}'_\omega(v), v) & \text{when } i < nl_\omega l \\ \phi^{i-nl_\omega l}(\tilde{g}(\theta^{nl_\omega l}v), \theta^{nl_\omega l}v) & \text{when } i \geq nl_\omega l \end{cases}.$$

It is easy to see that $\{(y_i, v_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an $(v, \frac{3}{2}\delta_1)$ -pseudo orbit. By the choice of δ_1 at the beginning of the proof, we have that there exists a unique true orbit $\{\phi^i(z_\omega(v), v)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ which is $(v, \frac{1}{3}\epsilon_1)$ -shadowing $\{(y_i, v_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Note that $\delta_1 + \frac{3}{2}\delta_1 + \frac{1}{3}\epsilon_1 < \frac{3}{4}\epsilon_1$, then it is obvious that

$$(13) \quad \phi^{ml}(z_\omega(v), v) \in B_g\left(\frac{3}{4}\epsilon_1\right), \quad \forall m \geq nl_\omega.$$

By Lemma 3.5, there exist $N_\omega \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if one choose $nl_\omega > N_\omega$, then $d_M(z_\omega(v), \tilde{g}'_\omega(v)) < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon'_1$. Therefore, by

$$|g'_\omega(v) - h(v)| < \frac{1}{3}\epsilon'_1 \text{ and } |\tilde{g}'_\omega(v) - g'_\omega(v)| < \frac{1}{3}\epsilon'_1,$$

which implies that

$$(14) \quad d_M(z_\omega(v), h(v)) < \epsilon'_1.$$

So far, we have defined a measurable function $z_\omega : C_\omega \rightarrow M_1$ with an integer $N_\omega \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying (13) and (14) for all $v \in C_\omega$ (the measurability of z_ω can be proved in the exactly same way as the argument in the proof of Theorem 2.3, thus is omitted here).

Note that $\{C_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ forms an open cover of the compact set Ω , thus there is an finite open sub-cover which is denoted by $\{C_{\omega_1}, \dots, C_{\omega_s}\}$. The proof is completed if we take $N = \max\{N_{\omega_1}, \dots, N_{\omega_s}\}$ and define

$$h_1(\omega) = \begin{cases} z_{\omega_1}(\omega) & \text{when } \omega \in C_{\omega_1} \\ z_{\omega_i}(\omega) & \text{when } \omega \in C_{\omega_i} \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} C_{\omega_j}, \quad \forall 2 \leq i \leq s \end{cases}.$$

□

Let U and V be open sets in M_1 with an $x \in U$ and a $y \in V$ fixed. Then there exists $\epsilon_2 > 0$ such that

$$B_M(x, \epsilon_2) \subset U \text{ and } B_M(y, \epsilon_2) \subset V.$$

Applying Lemma 4.5 by taking $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2$ and $g = h_y$ where $h_y(\omega) = y$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$, one can derive a $\delta_2 > 0$ correspondingly. By Theorem 2.3, there exists a full random periodic point $graph(\tilde{h}_y) \in B_{h_y}(\delta_2)$ with period pk . Since ϕ is homeomorphism and $\phi^k(M_1 \times \Omega) = M_1 \times \Omega$, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\phi^{ik}(U \times \Omega)$ is open in $M_1 \times \Omega$ while $\phi^{ik}(graph(h_x))$ is compact in $\phi^{ik}(U \times \Omega)$. Therefore, there exists $\epsilon_3 \in (0, \epsilon_2)$ such that

$$B_{g_i}(\epsilon_3) \subset \phi^{ik}(U \times \Omega), \quad \forall 0 \leq i \leq p-1,$$

where $g_i \in C(\Omega, M_1)$ satisfies $graph(g_i) = \phi^{ik}(graph(h_x))$. Applying Lemma 4.5 p times, we have that there exist $\{N_0, \dots, N_{p-1}\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ and measurable functions $\{g'_i : \Omega \rightarrow M_1\}_{0 \leq i \leq p-1}$ such that for all $0 \leq i \leq p-1$ the following hold

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} graph(g'_i) &\subset B_{g_i}(\epsilon_3) \subset \phi^{ik}(U \times \Omega) \\ &\text{and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\phi^{npk}(graph(g'_i)) \subset B_{h_y}(\epsilon_2) \subset V \times \Omega, \quad \forall n > N_i.$$

Obviously, (15) holds if replace N_i by $N := \max\{N_0, \dots, N_{p-1}\}$. Therefore, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, if $mk = npk + jk$ where $n > N$ and $j \in [0, p)$, then

$$\phi^{npk}(graph(g'_i)) \subset B_g(\epsilon_2) \bigcap \phi^{mk-jk}(\phi^{jk}(U \times \Omega)) \subset V \times \Omega \bigcap \phi^{mk}(U \times \Omega),$$

which implies that for any $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\phi^{mk}(U \times \{\omega\}) \cap V \times \{\theta^{mk}\omega\} \neq \emptyset.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.4 is completed.

4.3. Proof of Theorem 2.5, Corollary 2.6 and Theorem 2.9.

4.3.1. *Existence of ergodic measure with positive entropy and full support.* In this section, we prove the following proposition:

Proposition 4.6. *Assume that ϕ is transitive and Anosov on fibers, and (Ω, θ) is the irrational rotation on Torus. Then there exists a unique ϕ -invariant measure (SRB measure) μ with full support. Moreover*

$$(16) \quad h_\mu(\phi) = \int \sum \lambda_i^+ d\mu > 0,$$

where λ_i are the Lyapunov exponents corresponding to μ .

Proof. This proposition can be mainly viewed as a corollary of Theorem B.7 and Remark B.1. By Theorem 2.4, we have known that $\phi^k|_{M_i \times \Omega}$ is topological mixing on fibers, where k is the cardinality of M_i 's which are connected components of M . Therefore, by Lemma B.3, we have that for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $\phi^k|_{M_i \times \Omega}$ is random topological transitive (see Definition B.2). By taking $F = \phi^k$ and $\Lambda = M_i \times \Omega$, it is obviously that $\phi^k|_{M_i \times \Omega}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem B.7. Therefore, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ there is a unique ϕ^k -invariant SRB measure μ_i supported in $M_i \times \Omega$. By Theorem 2.4 and the invertibility of ϕ , we have that for any $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, $\phi^k|_{M_i \times \Omega}$ is conjugate to $\phi^k|_{M_j \times \Omega}$, and the conjugacy mapping is given by ϕ^n for some $n \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$. Thus $\mu_i = (\phi^n)^* \mu_j$ since ϕ^n is C^2 -map. So the unique ϕ -invariant SRB measure μ satisfies that

$$\mu = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i.$$

(16) follows i) of Theorem B.7 and the hyperbolicity of ϕ .

It remains to show that $\text{supp } \mu = M \times \Omega$. By iv) of Theorem B.7, each μ_i is the pullback (by " ψ " in (iv) of Theorem B.7) of a equilibrium state for an invertible symbolic dynamical system (a two-sided shift σ on Σ_A). By i) of Remark B.1, there is a $\mathbb{P}$ -full measure set, say Ω' , such that for any $\omega \in \Omega'$, $\psi|_{\Sigma_A(\omega)}$ is a homeomorphism between $\Sigma_A(\omega)$ and an open dense set of M , which is denoted by $M'(\omega)$. Since $\text{supp } \mathbb{P} = \Omega$, any $\mathbb{P}$ -full measure set is dense in Ω . Therefore, the random open set $U := \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega'} M'(\omega) \times \{\omega\}$ is dense in $M \times \Omega$. By iii) of Remark B.1, we have that for any $\omega \in \Omega'$ and any open set $V \subset M'(\omega)$, $\mu_\omega(V) > 0$, where μ_ω is the disintegration of μ on fibers. This means that for $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$, one has that $\text{supp } \mu_\omega = M$, which implies that $\text{supp } \mu = M \times \Omega$. The proof is done. $\square$

Remark 4.2. *Of course, the properties stated in Theorem B.7 are hold by μ in Proposition 4.6. However, to focus on the proof of 2.5 and for sake of simplicity, only the properties of full support and positive entropy are proposed here.*

4.3.2. *Existence of horseshoe.* Let μ be a ϕ -invariant ergodic probability measure with $h_\mu(\phi) > 0$ and $\text{supp}\mu = M \times \Omega$. Let $\mathbb{P}$ be the induced measure of μ on Ω , which is a θ -invariant ergodic probability measure. Note that $\text{supp}\mathbb{P} = \Omega$.

Consider a finite partition $\xi = \{\xi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq p}$ of Ω such that ξ_i has nonempty interior and $\mathbb{P}(\partial\xi_i) = 0$ for each $1 \leq i \leq p$, where $\partial\xi_i$ is the boundary of ξ_i . Denote $\text{diam}(\xi)$ the maximum of diameters of elements of ξ . In the following, for a $g \in C(\Omega, M)$, we borrow the notations $B_g(\xi_i, \delta)$ and $B_g(\delta)$ as in Section 4.1. Additionally, we have the following property

$$B_g(\delta) = \{(x, \omega) | \omega \in \Omega, d_M(x, g(\omega)) < \delta\} = \text{disjoint union of } B_g(\xi_i, \delta)\text{'s.}$$

Note that each $B_g(\delta)$ is an open set in $M \times \Omega$, so there are finite $B_g(\delta)$'s which can cover $M \times \Omega$.

Note that the following lemma is under the assumptions that μ is a ϕ -ergodic probability measure with $h_\mu(\phi) > 0$ and $\text{supp}\mu = M \times \Omega$.

Lemma 4.7. *For any $\gamma > 0$, there exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that for all $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$ the following hold: For $\delta > 0$ and a finite Borel partition $\xi = \{\xi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq p}$ of Ω described above with p elements, given any $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $g \in C(\Omega, M)$, $\{E_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq p}$, $n > n_0$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

- i) *For all $1 \leq i \leq p$, $E_i, \phi^n(E_i) \subset B_g(\xi_i, \delta)$;*
- ii) *For all $1 \leq i \leq p$, E_i is an (n, α) -separated set with respect to the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ on space $M \times \Omega$;*
- iii) *$|E_i| = k$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$, where $|E_i|$ is the cardinality of set E_i ;*
- iv) *$\frac{1}{n} \ln k \geq h_\mu(\phi) - \gamma$.*

Proof. Consider constant functions in $C(\Omega, M)$. Recall that h_x is the constant function who takes the value $x \in M$. Since $\text{supp}\mu = M \times \Omega$, for any $x_0 \in M$ and $r_0 \in (0, 1)$ one has that $\mu(B_{h_{x_0}}(r_0)) > 0$. Note that $h_\mu(\phi) > 0$, then we apply Lemma A.1 by taking a small $\gamma > 0$, $\beta = \frac{1}{4}\mu(B_{h_{x_0}}(r_0))$ to derive an $\alpha_0 > 0$. Given $\delta > 0$, a finite Borel partition $\xi = \{\xi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq p}$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ as in the statement of Lemma 4.7, we fix an $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$ in the rest of the proof.

Next, we construct a Borel subset $S \subset B_{h_{x_0}}(r_0)$ with $\mu(S) \geq 2\beta$ and a finite Borel partition ξ' of S which is based on ξ :

Since $\overline{B_{h_{x_0}}(r_0)}$ is compact, there is a finite open cover of $\overline{B_{h_{x_0}}(r_0)}$ with the form of open straps with δ width, which is denoted by $\{B_{h_{x_i}}(\frac{\delta}{2})\}_{1 \leq i \leq q}$.

Let

$$r_1 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq q, 1 \leq j \leq p} \left\{ \text{maximum of the radius of open balls in } B_{h_{x_i}}(\xi_j, \frac{\delta}{2}) \right\}.$$

It is obvious that $r_1 > 0$, and for each $B_{h_{x_i}}(\xi_j, \frac{\delta}{2})$, there exists an open ball $V_{x_i, \xi_j} \subset B_{h_{x_i}}(\xi_j, \frac{\delta}{2})$ with radius $\frac{1}{3}r_1$ such that for any $(x, \omega) \in V_{x_i, \xi_j}$ and $(y, v) \in M \times \Omega \setminus B_{h_{x_i}}(\xi_j, \frac{\delta}{2})$, one has $d((x, \omega), (y, v)) \geq \frac{1}{2}r_1$.

Let

$$\Lambda_N = \{(x, \omega) \in M \times \Omega \mid \forall 1 \leq i \leq q, 1 \leq j \leq p, \exists n \in [1, N] \text{ s.t. } \phi^n(x, \omega) \in V_{x_i, \xi_j}\}.$$

Note that, since $\text{supp}\mu = M \times \Omega$, one has that $\mu(V_{x_i, \xi_j}) > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq q$ and $1 \leq j \leq p$. Therefore, by the Birkhoff ergodic theorem, we have that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\Lambda_N) = 1.$$

Now, by taking N large enough so that $\mu(\Lambda_N) > 1 - \beta$, then one has that $\mu(\Lambda_N \cap B_{h_{x_0}}(r_0)) \geq 3\beta$. Let V be an neighborhood of $M \times \left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq p} \partial \xi_i\right)$ and $r_2 \in (0, \frac{1}{4}r_1)$ such that

$$(17) \quad d((x, \omega), (y, v)) \geq r_2, \text{ for any } (x, \omega) \in M \times \left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq p} \partial \xi_i\right) \text{ and } (y, v) \in M \times \Omega \setminus V.$$

Note that one can take r_2 small enough to make $\mu(V) < \beta$ since $\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq p} \partial \xi_i\right) = 0$.

Now we take

$$(18) \quad S = \Lambda_N \bigcap (B_{h_{x_0}}(r_0) \setminus V),$$

which clearly implies that $\mu(S) \geq 2\beta$.

By the definition of Λ_N , we have that for any $l \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ and any $(x, \omega) \in S$ (without losing any generality, we suppose that $(x, \omega) \in B_{h_{x_i}}(\xi_j, \frac{\delta}{2})$), there exists $n_l(x, \omega) \in [1, N]$ such that $\phi^{n_l(x, \omega)}(x, \omega) \in V_{x_i, \xi_l}$. Noting that each ϕ^{n_l} is uniformly continuous and there are at most N different $n_l(x, \omega)$'s, so there exists a $r_3 > 0$ such that for any $(x, \omega) \in S$, the r_3 -ball in $M \times \Omega$ centered on (x, ω) , $U^{r_3}(x, \omega)$, satisfies the following property:

$$\phi^{n_l(x, \omega)}(U^{r_3}(x, \omega)) \subset \text{interior of } B_{h_{x_i}}(\xi_l, \delta), \quad \forall l \in [1, p]$$

It is clearly that, by (17), one has that $U^{r_3}(x, \omega) \subset B_{h_{x_i}}(\xi_j, \delta)$ as long as $r_3 \in (0, r_2) \subset (0, \frac{\delta}{4})$. Here, we also require r_3 to be small enough such that

$$(19) \quad \text{any two points from } U^{r_3}(x, \omega) \text{ are } \mathbf{NOT} \ (N + n_0 + 1, \alpha) - \text{separated}.$$

Also note that for any $(y, v) \in U^{r_3}(x, \omega)$, if $\phi^m(y, v) \in U^{r_3}(x, \omega)$, then for any $l \in [1, p]$

$$(20) \quad \phi^m(\phi^{n_l(x, \omega)}(y, v)) = \phi^{n_l(x, \omega)}(\phi^m(y, v)) \in \phi^{n_l(x, \omega)}(U^{r_3}(x, \omega)) \subset \text{interior of } B_{h_{x_i}}(\xi_l, \delta).$$

Since each $U^{r_3}(x, \omega)$ has the fixed radius r_3 , we have that $\{U^{r_3}(x, \omega)\}_{(x, \omega) \in S}$ forms an open cover of $\overline{S}$, thus there is a finite open sub-cover of S , which is denoted by $\{U^{r_3}(x'_i, \omega_i)\}_{1 \leq i \leq p'}$. Now we take ξ' to be the partitions generated by $\{U^{r_3}(x'_i, \omega_i) \cap S\}_{1 \leq i \leq p'}$.

Finally, for the fixed $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$ and a given $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, S defined in (18) and $\eta = \xi'$, then by applying Lemma A.1, we have that there exist $\hat{C} \in \xi'$, $n > n_0 + N + 1$ and an (n, α) -separated set E such that the following are satisfied:

- (a) $E, \phi^n(E) \subset \hat{C}$,
- (b) $\frac{1}{n} \ln |E| \geq h_\mu(\phi) - \gamma$.

Without losing any generality, we suppose $E \subset U^{r_3}(x'_1, \omega_1) \subset B_{h_{x_1}}(\xi_1, \delta)$. Then, we define

$$g = h_{x_1}, \quad E_1 = E \text{ and } E_i = \phi^{n_i(x'_1, \omega_1)}(E) \quad \forall i \in [2, p],$$

where $n_i(x'_1, \omega) \in [1, N]$ satisfies that $\phi^{n_i(x'_1, \omega)}(x'_1, \omega_1) \in V_{x_1, \xi_i}$. It is obviously that i) follows (20) and (a) above, ii) follows (19) and the separating property of E , and iii) and iv) follow (b) above. The proof is completed. $\square$

Remark 4.3. Note that, from the proof, one can expect additional properties of g and E_i to be satisfied: 1) one can chose the continuous function g to be a constant function; 2) one can request E_i not to be a (n_0, α) -separated set for $1 \leq i \leq p$.

Construction of Horseshoe:

First, we fix a partition of Ω , ξ , and make $\hat{\delta}_1$, the diameter of ξ , small enough (the condition will be proposed later). Then, we fix a $\gamma > 0$, an $n_0 = 1$ and a $\hat{\delta}_2 > 0$ small enough (again the condition will be given later). By applying Lemma 4.7 and also keep in mind Remark 4.3, we have that for a fixed $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$, there exist a constant function $h_{x_0} \in C(\Omega, M)$, $\{E_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq p}$, $n > 1$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

- E1) For all $1 \leq i \leq p$, $E_i, \phi^n(E_i) \subset B_{h_{x_0}}(\xi_i, \hat{\delta}_2)$;
- E2) For all $1 \leq i \leq p$, E_i is an (n, α) -separated set with respect to the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ on space $M \times \Omega$;
- E3) $|E_i| = k$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$, where $|E_i|$ is the cardinality of set E_i ;
- E4) $\frac{1}{n} \ln k \geq h_\mu(\phi) - \gamma$.

Now we fix a label method of each E_i using $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, and then write $E_i = \{(x_{i,1}, \omega_{i,1}), \dots, (x_{i,k}, \omega_{i,k})\}$.

Second, for a given point $\hat{a} = (a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{S}_k (= \{1, \dots, k\}^{\mathbb{Z}})$, we construct two-sides pseudo orbits starting from $\text{graph}(h_{x_0})$, which are similarly defined as in (6) for $g = h_{x_0}$. For $\omega \in \Omega$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, suppose that $\theta^{ln}\omega \in \xi_{i_l}$, then define

$$(21) \quad (y'_j(\hat{a}, \omega), \omega_j) = \begin{cases} (x_0, \theta^{ln}\omega), & \text{when } j = ln \\ (\pi_M \phi^{j-ln}(x_{i_l, a_l}, \omega_{i_l, a_l}), \theta^j \omega), & \text{when } j \in [ln + 1, (l+1)n - 1] \end{cases}.$$

The following lemma follows the exactly same arguments used in the proof of Lemma 4.2 and 4.3. The only difference between (9) and (21) is that the point chosen from $B_g(\xi_{i_l}, \delta)$ may vary in (21), i.e. $(x_{i_l, a_l}, \omega_{i_l, a_l})$ is depending on a_l , while keep constant in (9), i.e. (x_{i_l}, ω_{i_l}) is fixed for given l . However, in the proof of Lemma 4.2, the only property being used is (5) which is satisfied by (21) because of E1). The proof of the following lemma is omitted.

Lemma 4.8. *For any $\epsilon' > 0$, there exists $\delta' > 0$ depending on ϵ' only (in particular, δ' is not depending on x_0) such that if $\hat{\delta}_1$ (the diameter of ξ), $\hat{\delta}_2$ (as in E1) $< \delta'$, then for any $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{S}_k$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, the pseudo orbit defined by (21) is an (ω, ϵ') -pseudo orbit.*

Remark 4.4. *Note that, $\hat{\delta}_1$ and $\hat{\delta}_2$ need to be fixed at the very beginning of this construction, but Lemma 4.7 indicate that the constant function $h_{x_0} \in C(\Omega, M)$, $\{E_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq p}$, n and k used here is depending on the partition ξ (thus δ_1) and δ_2 . It seems to have a logic circle by proposing more conditions on $\hat{\delta}_1$ and $\hat{\delta}_2$ afterwards, since that will change h_{x_0} , $\{E_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq p}$, n and k accordingly. Nevertheless, as long as we are dealing with constant functions only, Lemma 4.8 (also see the argument for Lemma 4.3) tells the insurance of (21) forming an (ω, ϵ') -pseudo orbit is not depending on the choice of particular h_{x_0} . That is why one need the δ' in Lemma 4.8 to be depending on ϵ' only.*

By Lemma 3.4, for any $\beta \in (0, \beta_0)$, if ϵ' (as in Lemma 4.8) is small enough, the true orbit which is (ω, β) -shadowing the pseudo orbit defined in (21) is unique, for which we denote $\{\phi^i(x'_0(\hat{a}, \omega), \omega)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Now we are ready to define the map $\Psi : \mathcal{S}_k \rightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$ by letting

$$(22) \quad \Psi(\hat{a})(\omega) = x'_0(\hat{a}, \omega), \quad \forall \hat{a} \in \mathcal{S}_k, \omega \in \Omega,$$

where the measurability of $\Psi(\hat{a})$ follows the same argument as in the end of Section 4.1, and the continuity of Ψ follows Lemma 3.5.

By (21) and the uniqueness of the true orbit, we have that

$$\phi^n(x'_0(\hat{a}, \omega), \omega) = (x'_0(\sigma \hat{a}, \theta^n \omega), \theta^n \omega), \quad \forall \hat{a} \in \mathcal{S}, \omega \in \Omega,$$

which implies that (ii) in Definition 2.2 holds for ϕ^n .

To ensure that (i) in Definition 2.2 holds, we need to propose more conditions on $\hat{\delta}_1, \hat{\delta}_2$:

- R1) By Lemma 4.8, taking $\hat{\delta}_1, \hat{\delta}_2$ small enough, one can make ϵ' small enough so that $\epsilon' < \frac{1}{8}\alpha$ and the true orbit $\{\phi^i(x'_0(\hat{a}, \omega), \omega)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is $\frac{1}{8}\alpha$ -shadowing the pseudo orbit defined by (21).
- R2) Another concern is that, the (n, α) -separation of E_i is from both M direction and Ω direction. Note that M -direction separation come from the expansive property of the system, while the Ω -direction separation come from the initial position of the points which is invariant under iterations. In order to make the Ω -direction separation harmless (not to potentially fail (i) Definition 2.2), one need to take $\hat{\delta}_1$ small enough (e.g. taking $\hat{\delta}_1 < \frac{1}{8}\alpha$ is sufficient).

For any $\hat{a}_1 = (a_1(i))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, \hat{a}_2 = (a_2(i))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{S}_k$ with $\hat{a}_1 \neq \hat{a}_2$, let $s = \min\{|i| \mid a_1(i) \neq a_2(i)\}$. Then for any $\omega \in \Omega$, by E2) and R2) above, we have that

$$d(\phi^r(\Psi(\hat{a}_1)(\omega), \omega), \phi^r(\Psi(\hat{a}_2)(\omega), \omega)) > \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \text{ for some } r \in [-(s+1)n, (s+1)n].$$

Note that there is a constant $L > 1$ such that for any $\omega \in \Omega$ and $x, y \in M$ with $d(x, y) > 0$, one has

$$d(\phi^{\pm 1}(x, \omega), \phi^{\pm 1}(y, \omega)) \leq Ld_M(x, y).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & d_M(\Psi(\hat{a}_1)(\omega), \Psi(\hat{a}_2)(\omega)) \\ &= d((\Psi(\hat{a}_1)(\omega), \omega), (\Psi(\hat{a}_2)(\omega), \omega)) \\ &\geq L^{-|r|} d(\phi^r(\Psi(\hat{a}_1)(\omega), \omega), \phi^r(\Psi(\hat{a}_2)(\omega), \omega)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\alpha L^{-(s+1)n}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies both (i) in Definition 2.2 and the continuity of Ψ^{-1} . The proof is completed.

4.3.3. *Proof of Corollary 2.6.* For $g \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, one can define a Borel probability measure, μ_g , in $\mathcal{M}(M \times \Omega)$ by the following: for any Borel set $A \subset M \times \Omega$

$$(23) \quad \mu_g(A) = \mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega \mid (g(\omega), \omega) \in A \cap M \times \{\omega\}\}).$$

Then for any Borel function $h : M \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_{M \times \Omega} h d\mu_g = \int_{\Omega} h(g(\omega)) d\mathbb{P}.$$

Note that $g_n \rightarrow g$ in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ means that $g_n(\omega) \rightarrow g(\omega)$ uniformly on ω , therefore for any continuous $h : M \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (thus is uniformly continuous because of compactness of $M \times \Omega$), the following is true:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{M \times \Omega} h d\mu_{g_i} - \int_{M \times \Omega} h d\mu_g \right| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} h(g_i(\omega)) d\mathbb{P} - \int_{\Omega} h(g(\omega)) d\mathbb{P} \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} \{ |h(x, \omega) - h(y, \omega)| \mid d_M(x, y) \leq d_{L^\infty}(g_i, g) \} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So the map $\tilde{\mu} : L^\infty(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(M \times \Omega)$ with $\tilde{\mu}(g) := \mu_g$ is continuous.

Let $\{\text{graph}(\Psi(\hat{a}))\}_{\hat{a} \in \mathcal{S}_k}$ be a full random horseshoe of ϕ of k symbols, where $\Psi : \mathcal{S}_k \rightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfies the conditions in Definition 2.2. Then Ψ together with (23) induces a map $\tilde{\Psi} : \mathcal{S}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(M \times \Omega)$ by taking

$$\tilde{\Psi}(\hat{a}) = \mu_{\Psi(\hat{a})} \text{ for all } \hat{a} \in \mathcal{S}_k.$$

Since Ψ is the composition of two continuous maps, thus Ψ is continuous.

Next we are to show $\tilde{\Psi}$ is injective. For any $\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2 \in \mathcal{S}_k$ with $\hat{a}_1 \neq \hat{a}_2$, by i) of Definition 2.2 there exists $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{6})$ such that

$$\inf_{\omega \in \Omega} \{d_M(\Psi(\hat{a}_1)(\omega), \Psi(\hat{a}_2)(\omega))\} > \epsilon.$$

By Lusin's Theorem, there are two continuous maps $g_1, g_2 \in C(\Omega, M)$ and a compact subset E of Ω such that $\mathbb{P}(\Omega \setminus E) < \epsilon$ and $g_i(w) = \Psi(\hat{a}_i)(w)$ for $w \in E$ and $i = 1, 2$. Then by Uryson's Lemma, there exists $h : M \times \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that the following holds

- a) $\left| \int_{M \times \Omega} h d\mu_{\Psi(\hat{a}_i)} - \int_{M \times \Omega} h d\mu_{g_i} \right| < \epsilon$ for $i = 1, 2$;
- b) $\left| \int_{M \times \Omega} h d\mu_{g_1} - \int_{M \times \Omega} h d\mu_{g_2} \right| > 1 - 4\epsilon$.

Thus

$$\int_{M \times \Omega} h d\mu_{\Psi(\hat{a}_1)} \neq \int_{M \times \Omega} h d\mu_{\Psi(\hat{a}_2)} \text{ i.e. } \tilde{\Psi}(\hat{a}_1) \neq \tilde{\Psi}(\hat{a}_2),$$

which implies that $\tilde{\Psi}$ is injective. Since $\mathcal{S}_k$ is compact and $\tilde{\Psi}$ is continuous, $\tilde{\Psi}(\mathcal{S}_k)$ is compact. So far, we have shown that $\tilde{\Psi} : \mathcal{S}_k \rightarrow \tilde{\Psi}(\mathcal{S}_k)$ is a one-to-one continuous map from $\mathcal{S}_k$ (compact set) to $\tilde{\Psi}(\mathcal{S}_k)$ (compact set). Therefore, $(\tilde{\Psi})^{-1}|_{\tilde{\Psi}(\mathcal{S}_k)}$ is continuous automatically. Thus, $\tilde{\Psi}$ is an embedding of $\mathcal{S}_k$ into $\mathcal{M}(M \times \Omega)$.

Another quick observation based on (4) and (23) is the following

$$(24) \quad \phi^*(\mu_g) = \mu_{g'}, \text{ where } \text{graph}(g') = \phi(\text{graph}(g)),$$

which together with ii) of Definition 2.2 implies that

$$\phi^*(\tilde{\Psi}(\hat{a})) = \phi^*(\mu_{\Psi(\hat{a})}) = \mu_{\Psi(\sigma\hat{a})} = \tilde{\Psi}(\sigma\hat{a}), \quad \forall \hat{a} \in \mathcal{S}_k.$$

Hence we conclude that full random horseshoe of ϕ of k symbols will induce a bi-invariant horseshoe with k symbols of ϕ^* , thus Theorem 2.5 implies Corollary 2.6.

4.3.4. Proof of Theorem 2.9. Note that, unlike the deterministic case, Theorem 2.9 can not be viewed as a corollary of Theorem 2.5. Nevertheless, one can construct the desired random weak horseshoe (see Definition 2.8) by modifying the process of construction of full random horseshoe in Section 4.3.2.

Suppose that we already have $\xi = \{\xi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq p}$ (a partition of Ω), $\hat{\delta}_1$ (diameter of ξ), $\gamma > 0$, $n_0 \geq 1$, $\hat{\delta}_2 > 0$, $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$, constant function $h_{x_0} \in C(\Omega, M)$, $\{E_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq p}$, $n > 1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ been settled as in Section 4.3.2 (right after Remark 4.3), and all the conditions proposed for these parameters are satisfied (including E1)-E4) and R1), R2)).

To construct the weak horseshoe, we first choose three distinct points, denoted by $(z_{1,1}, \omega_{1,1})$, $(z_{1,2}, \omega_{1,2})$ and (z_1, ω_1) , from E_1 , and for each $2 \leq i \leq p$, one point, denoted (z_i, ω_i) , from E_i . Since $(z_{1,1}, \omega_{1,1}), (z_{1,2}, \omega_{1,2})$ are (n, α) -separated, and since $n_0 \geq 1$ and 1) of Remark 4.3, there exists $q \in (0, n-1]$ such that

$$d(\phi^q(z_{1,1}, \omega_{1,1}), \phi^q(z_{1,2}, \omega_{1,2})) > \alpha.$$

By R2), we have that

$$d_M(\pi_M \phi^q(z_{1,1}, \omega_{1,1}), \pi_M \phi^q(z_{1,2}, \omega_{1,2})) > \frac{7}{8}\alpha.$$

Define the closed balls in M as the following

$$(25) \quad U_i = \overline{B\left(\pi_M \phi^q(z_{1,i}, \omega_{1,i}), \frac{1}{8}\alpha\right)} \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

It is easy to see that U_1, U_2 satisfies (1) of Definition 2.8. Since ξ_1 has nonempty interior, and θ^n is an minimal map on Ω , there exists an integer $K_1 > 0$ such that

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{K_1} \theta^{-jn} \xi_1 = \Omega,$$

which implies that for any $\omega \in \Omega$, $n'(\omega) := \min\{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid \theta^{jn}\omega \in \xi_1\}$ exists and is $\leq K_1$. It is easy to see that $n' : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is measurable. Inductively, we define that

$$\begin{aligned} n_1''(\omega) &= nn'(\omega) \\ n_2''(\omega) &= n(n'(\theta^{nn_1''(\omega)}\omega) + n_1''(\omega)) \\ &\dots \\ n_{i+1}''(\omega) &= n(n'(\theta^{nn_i''(\omega)}\omega) + n_i''(\omega)) \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

Note that, by definition of n_i'' 's the following are satisfied:

- N1) For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $n_i'' : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is measurable.
- N2) For all $\omega \in \Omega$, $\theta^{n_i''(\omega)}\omega \in \xi_1$, and for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $ln \in (n_i''(\omega), n_{i+1}''(\omega))$, $\theta^{ln}\omega \notin \xi_1$.
- N3) For all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < n_{i+1}''(\omega) - n_i''(\omega) < nK_1$.

Now, for a given point $\hat{a} = (a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{S}_2^+ (= \{1, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}})$, we are ready to construct two-sides pseudo orbits starting from $\text{graph}(h_{x_0})$, which are similarly defined as in (21). For $\omega \in \Omega$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$, suppose that $\theta^{ln}\omega \in \xi_{i_l}$, then define

$$(26) \quad (y'_j(\hat{a}, \omega), \omega_j) = \begin{cases} \phi^j(x_0, \omega), & \text{when } j \leq 0 \\ (x_0, \theta^{ln}\omega), & \text{when } j = ln, \ l \geq 1 \\ (\pi_M \phi^{j-n_i''(\omega)}(z_{1,a_i}, \omega_{1,a_i}), \theta^j\omega), & \text{when } j \in [n_i''(\omega) + 1, n_i''(\omega) + n - 1] \\ (\pi_M \phi^{j-ln(\omega)}(z_{i_l}, \omega_{i_l}), \theta^j\omega), & \text{otherwise, when } j \in [ln + 1, (l+1)n - 1] \end{cases}.$$

By the exact same argument in Section 4.3.2 (thus details of the proof are omitted), $\{(y'_j(\hat{a}, \omega), \omega_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is $(\omega, \frac{1}{8}\alpha)$ -shadowed by a true orbit $\{\phi^j(x'_0(\hat{a}, \omega), \omega)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Therefore, for any $\omega \in \Omega$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $n_i(\omega) = n_i''(\omega) + q$, then one has that

$$\begin{aligned} & d_M(\pi_M \phi^{n_i(\omega)}(x'_0(\hat{a}, \omega), \omega), \pi_M \phi^{n_i(\omega)-n_i''(\omega)}(z_{1,a_i})) \\ &= d_M(\pi_M \phi^{n_i(\omega)}(x'_0(\hat{a}, \omega), \omega), \pi_M \phi^q(z_{1,a_i})) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8}\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\pi_M \phi^{n_i(\omega)}(x'_0(\hat{a}, \omega), \omega) \in U_{a_i}$ (see definition of U_i in (25)). By N3), we have that $0 < n_{i+1}(\omega) - n_i(\omega) < K$ if one take $K = nK_1$, thus (2) of Definition 2.8 is satisfied.

The proof is completed.

5. EXAMPLES: FIBER ANOSOV MAPS ON 2-D TORI

Let $\phi : \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}$ with

$$\phi \left(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \omega \right) = \left(A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + h(\omega), \omega + \alpha \right),$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $h(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} h_1(\omega) \\ h_2(\omega) \end{pmatrix}$ is a continuous map from $\mathbb{T}$ to $\mathbb{T}^2$. It is clear that ϕ is a quasi-periodic forced system and ϕ induces a cocycle over $(\mathbb{T}, \theta)$, where $\theta(\omega) = \omega + \alpha$.

Lemma 5.1. *ϕ is transitive and Anosov on fibers.*

Proof. Let $f_\omega \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + h(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$. Then $\phi \left(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \omega \right) = \left(f_\omega \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \omega + \alpha \right)$. Note that $Df_\omega \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = A$ for any $\left(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \omega \right) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}$ and A is a hyperbolic matrix. Hence $(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}, \phi)$ is Anosov on fibers.

Let μ be the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}$. To show that T is transitive, it is sufficient to show that $(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}}, \phi, \mu)$ is an ergodic measure-preserving system. First, it is clear that $(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}}, \phi, \mu)$ is a measure-preserving system. In the following we show that $(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}}, \phi, \mu)$ is ergodic.

Let $f \in L^2(\mu)$ with $f \circ \phi = f$ μ -a.e.. Let

$$f \left(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \omega \right) = \sum_{(k,l,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^3} c_{k,l,n} e^{2\pi i (k\omega + (l,n) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix})}$$

be the Fourier series of f on $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}$. Then

$$\sum_{(k,l,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^3} |c_{k,l,n}|^2 = \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2 < +\infty.$$

Fix $(n', l') \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. For any $g \in L^2(m_{\mathbb{T}})$, where $m_{\mathbb{T}}$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k, l', n'} e^{2\pi i k \omega} \right) g(\omega) dm_{\mathbb{T}}(\omega) \\
&= \int \left(\sum_{(k, l, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^3} c_{k, l, n} e^{2\pi i (k\omega + (l, n) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix})} \right) g(\omega) e^{-2\pi i (l', n') \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}} d\mu \left(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \omega \right) \\
&= \int f \left(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \omega \right) g(\omega) e^{-2\pi i (l', n') \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}} d\mu \left(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \omega \right) \\
&= \int f \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \omega \right) \right) g(\omega) e^{-2\pi i (l', n') \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}} d\mu \left(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \omega \right) \\
&= \int f \left(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \omega \right) g(\omega + \alpha) e^{-2\pi i (l', n') (A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + h(\omega))} d\mu \left(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \omega \right) \\
&= \int \left(\sum_{(k, l, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^3} c_{k, l, n} e^{2\pi i (k\omega + (l, n) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix})} \right) g(\omega + \alpha) e^{-2\pi i (l', n') (A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + h(\omega))} d\mu \left(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \omega \right) \\
&= \int \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k, (l', n') A} e^{2\pi i k \omega} \right) e^{-2\pi i (l', n') h(\omega)} g(\omega + \alpha) dm_{\mathbb{T}}(\omega) \\
&= \int \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k, (l', n') A} e^{2\pi i k (\omega - \alpha)} \right) e^{-2\pi i (l', n') h(\omega - \alpha)} g(\omega) dm_{\mathbb{T}}(\omega).
\end{aligned}$$

Since the above equality holds for any $g \in L^2(m_{\mathbb{T}})$, one has

$$(27) \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k, l', n'} e^{2\pi i k \omega} = \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k, (l', n') A} e^{2\pi i k (\omega - \alpha)} \right) e^{-2\pi i (l', n') h(\omega - \alpha)}$$

in $L^2(m_{\mathbb{T}})$. Thus

$$\int \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k, l', n'} e^{2\pi i k \omega} \right|^2 dm_{\mathbb{T}}(\omega) = \int \left| \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k, (l', n') A} e^{2\pi i k (\omega - \alpha)} \right) e^{-2\pi i (l', n') h(\omega - \alpha)} \right|^2 dm_{\mathbb{T}}(\omega).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_{k, l', n'}|^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_{k, (l', n') A}|^2.$$

When $(l', n') \neq (0, 0)$, $(l', n') A^m \neq (l', n') A^k$ for $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \neq k$. Hence if $(l', n') \neq (0, 0)$ then for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_{k, l', n'}|^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_{k, (l', n') A^i}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{(k, l, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^3} |c_{k, l, n}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{N} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2.$$

Let $N \nearrow +\infty$, we get $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_{k, l', n'}|^2 = 0$ for $(l', n') \neq (0, 0)$. When $(l', n') = (0, 0)$, by (27) one has

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k, 0, 0} e^{2\pi i k \omega} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k, 0, 0} e^{-2\pi i k \alpha} e^{2\pi i k \omega}$$

in $L^2(m_{\mathbb{T}})$. Thus $c_{k,0,0} = c_{k,0,0}e^{-2\pi i k \alpha}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. When $k \neq 0$, one has $c_{k,0,0} = 0$ as $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Summing over we get $f = c_{0,0,0}$. This shows that $(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}}, \phi, \mu)$ is ergodic. The proof is completed. $\square$

For a continuous function p from $\mathbb{T}$ to $\mathbb{T}$, we denote the degree of p by $\deg(p)$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\deg(h_i) = n_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. If n_2 is odd number, then there are no $g \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T}^2)$ and positive integer n such that $\phi^n(\text{graph}(g)) = \text{graph}(g)$, that is, $(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}, \phi)$ has no periodic continuous graph.*

Proof. If this is not true, then there are $g(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(\omega) \\ g_2(\omega) \end{pmatrix} \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T}^2)$ and positive integer n such that $\phi^n(\text{graph}(g)) = \text{graph}(g)$, i.e.

$$\phi^n(g(\omega), \omega) = (g(\omega + n\alpha), \omega + n\alpha)$$

for $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$. Let $\deg(g_i) = k_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Note that

$$\phi^n(g(\omega), \omega) = \left(A^n \begin{pmatrix} g_1(\omega) \\ g_2(\omega) \end{pmatrix} + A^{n-1} \begin{pmatrix} h_1(\omega) \\ h_2(\omega) \end{pmatrix} + \cdots + \begin{pmatrix} h_1(\omega + (n-1)\alpha) \\ h_2(\omega + (n-1)\alpha) \end{pmatrix}, \omega + n\alpha \right)$$

Hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_1(\omega + n\alpha) \\ g_2(\omega + n\alpha) \end{pmatrix} = A^n \begin{pmatrix} g_1(\omega) \\ g_2(\omega) \end{pmatrix} + A^{n-1} \begin{pmatrix} h_1(\omega) \\ h_2(\omega) \end{pmatrix} + A^{n-2} \begin{pmatrix} h_1(\omega + \alpha) \\ h_2(\omega + \alpha) \end{pmatrix} + \cdots + \begin{pmatrix} h_1(\omega + (n-1)\alpha) \\ h_2(\omega + (n-1)\alpha) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Compare the degree of continuous functions from $\mathbb{T}$ to $\mathbb{T}$ appearing in the above equation, one has

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{pmatrix} = A^n \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{pmatrix} + A^{n-1} \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix} + A^{n-2} \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix} + \cdots + \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

That is

$$(I - A^n) \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{pmatrix} = (I + A + \cdots + A^{n-1}) \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\det(I - A^n) \neq 0$ and $(I - A)(I + A + \cdots + A^{n-1}) = I - A^n$, one has

$$(I - A) \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies $k_1 = -\frac{n_2}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction. The proof is completed. $\square$

APPENDIX A. CAPTURING ENTROPY

The results in this section are mainly borrowed from Section 6 in [25], which are essentially taken from [20]. The notation and setting here is separated from that in the rest of this paper.

Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map of a compact metric space with metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$, and let ν be an ergodic T -invariant Borel probability measure on X . For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, recall that the d_n^T -metric on X is defined by

$$d_n^T(x, y) = \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} d(T^i(x), T^i(y)).$$

Balls in this metric are denoted by $B_{d_n^T}(\cdot)$. For $\alpha, \beta > 0$, let $N(n, \alpha; \beta)$ denote the minimum number of α -balls in the d_n^T -metric needed to cover a set of measure $\geq \beta$ in X . Then for any $\beta \in (0, 1)$,

$$h_\nu(T) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln N(n, \alpha; \beta).$$

Lemma A.1. Assume $h_\nu(T) > 0$. Given $\gamma > 0$ and $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, there exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that the following holds for all $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$: Let $S \subset X$ be a Borel subset with $\nu(S) \geq 2\beta$, and let η be a finite measurable partition of S . Then given any $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, there exist $\hat{C} \in \eta$, $n > n_0$ and an (n, α) -separated set E such that

- (a) $E, T^n(E) \subset \hat{C}$,
- (b) $\frac{1}{n} \ln |E| \geq h_\nu(T) - \gamma$.

APPENDIX B. RANDOM DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, $\mathbb{E}$ be a locally compact Hausdorff second countable topological space. Let $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{G}$ denote respectively the family of all closed, compact and nonempty open sets of $\mathbb{E}$.

Definition B.1. A map $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is called a random closed set if, for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{E}$,

$$\{\omega \in \Omega \mid X(\omega) \cap K \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{B}.$$

A map $Y : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is called a random open set if its complement $X = Y^c$ (by this, we mean $X(\omega) = \mathbb{E} \setminus Y(\omega)$ for $\mathbb{P}$ -a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$) is a random closed set. Since $\{\omega \in \Omega \mid Y^c(\omega) \cap F = \emptyset\} = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid F \subset Y(\omega)\}$, Y is random open set if and only if $\{\omega \in \Omega \mid F \subset Y(\omega)\}$ is a measurable event for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let $\theta : (\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathbb{P}) \rightarrow (\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathbb{P})$ be an invertible ergodic metric dynamical system, and $F : \mathbb{E} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \times \Omega$ be a continuous random dynamical system over this metric dynamical system.

Definition B.2. F is called random topological transitive if for any random open sets U, V with $U(\omega), V(\omega) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$, there exists a random variable n taking values in $\mathbb{Z}$ such that the intersection $F^{n(\omega)}(\theta^{-n(\omega)}\omega, U(\theta^{-n(\omega)}\omega)) \cap V(\omega)$ is non-empty $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s..

The following is a technical lemma whose proof is included for sake of completeness.

Lemma B.3. F is topological mixing on fibers (see Section 2 for definition) implies that F is random topological transitive.

Proof. Let U and V be random open sets with $U(\omega), V(\omega) \neq \emptyset$ for $\mathbb{P}$ -a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$. Let $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable dense set of $\mathbb{E}$. For $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\Omega_{i,j}^U = \left\{ \omega \mid \overline{B\left(x_i, \frac{1}{j}\right)} \subset U(\omega) \right\} \text{ and } \Omega_{i,j}^V = \left\{ \omega \mid \overline{B\left(x_i, \frac{1}{j}\right)} \subset V(\omega) \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that each $\Omega_{i,j}^U$ or $\Omega_{i,j}^V$ is measurable, and $\mathbb{P}(\cup_{i,j} \Omega_{i,j}^U) = \mathbb{P}(\cup_{i,j} \Omega_{i,j}^V) = 1$. Without losing any generality, we suppose that $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{i_0, j_0}^U) > 0$ for some $i_0, j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Since F is topological mixing on fibers, for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $k > N_{i,j}$

$$(28) \quad F^k \left(B\left(x_{i_0}, \frac{1}{j_0}\right) \times \{\theta^{-k}\omega\} \right) \cap B\left(x_i, \frac{1}{j}\right) \times \{\omega\} \neq \emptyset, \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega.$$

By ergodicity of θ^{-1} and Poincare Recurrence Theorem, we have that for $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$, $\{\theta^{-k}\omega\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ will visit Ω_{i_0, j_0}^U infinitely many times. Given $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, for $\omega \in \Omega_{i,j}^V$, define that

$$n(\omega) = \min\{k \mid k > N_{i,j}, \theta^{-k}\omega \in \Omega_{i_0, j_0}^U\}.$$

It is easy to see that $n : \Omega_{i,j}^V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is measurable and $n(\omega) < \infty$ for $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. ω . Additionally, (28) implies that for $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega_{i,j}^V$, the following holds

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} & F(n(\omega), U(\omega) \times \{\theta^{-n(\omega)}\omega\}) \cap V(\omega) \times \{\omega\} \\ & \supset F\left(n(\omega), B\left(x_{i_0}, \frac{1}{j_0}\right) \times \{\theta^{-n(\omega)}\omega\}\right) \cap B\left(x_i, \frac{1}{j}\right) \times \{\omega\} \\ & \neq \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Although we only give the definition of the integer valued function n on $\Omega_{i,j}^V$, it is easy to extend n onto Ω for which (29) still holds for $\mathbb{P}$ -a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$, since there are only countable many $\Omega_{i,j}^V$ s. Thus F is random topological transitive. $\square$

The definitions and results collected in this section are mainly from [17] and [13] whose proofs are omitted. Let Λ be a random hyperbolic attractor of F , where the $F(\omega)$ is uniformly hyperbolic on $\Lambda(\omega)$ $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.. For definition of random hyperbolic attractors, we refer the reader to [17]; while for more details about random attractors, we refer the reader to [4].

Definition B.4. Let δ be a strictly positive random variable on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathbb{P})$. Then for any $\omega \in \Omega$ a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in $\mathbb{E}$ is called an (ω, δ) pseudo-orbit of F if

$$d(y_{n+1}, F(y_n, \theta^n \omega)) \leq \delta(\theta^{n+1} \omega) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For a strictly positive random variable ϵ and any $\omega \in \Omega$ a point $x \in \mathbb{E}$ is said to (ω, ϵ) -shadow the (ω, δ) pseudo-orbit $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ if

$$d(F^n(x, \omega), y_n) \leq \epsilon(\theta^n \omega) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The following lemma is the Proposition 3.6 of [17], which is called **Random Shadowing Lemma**.

Lemma B.5. Let the random hyperbolic set Λ have local product structure. Then for every tempered random variable $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a tempered random variable $\beta > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. every (ω, β) pseudo-orbit $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with $y_n \in \Lambda(\theta^n \omega)$ can be (ω, ϵ) -shadowed by a point $x \in \Lambda(\omega)$. If 2ϵ is chosen as an expansivity characteristic, then the shadowing point x is unique. Moreover, if the y_n are chosen to be random variables such that for $\mathbb{P}$ -almost all $\omega \in \Omega$ the sequence $\{y_n(\omega)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an (ω, β) pseudo-orbit, then the starting point $x(\omega)$ of the corresponding (ω, ϵ) -shadowing orbit depends measurably on ω .

Let V^s, V^u be the local invariant manifolds of F respectively, moreover take them of random size η being an expansivity characteristic. Take a small enough strictly positive tempered random variable ϖ the smallness of which is only depending on β, η and the hyperbolicity of the system. Then one can find a random variable $k : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Lambda(\omega)$ can be covered by $k(\omega)$ open balls of radius less than $\varpi(\omega)$ and centres $p_i(\omega), i = 1, \dots, k(\omega)$ with $p_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ measurable. Actually ϖ can always be chosen log-integrable, and k can be chosen log-integrable if F is of tempered continuity.

Denote these balls by $B_{\varpi}(p_i, \omega)$. Then A is called a random matrix if, for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $A(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{k(\omega) \times k(\theta \omega)}$ such that

$$A(\omega)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } F(p_i(\omega), \omega) \in B_{\varpi}(p_j, \theta \omega) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition B.6. Let $k : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^+$ be a random variable, A a corresponding random transition matrix, and define for $\omega \in \Omega$

$$\Sigma_k(\omega) := \prod_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \{1, \dots, k(\theta^i \omega)\},$$

$$\Sigma_A(\omega) := \{\bar{x} = (x_i) \in \Sigma_k(\omega) : A_{x_i, x_{i+1}}(\theta^i \omega) = 1 \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Let σ be the standard (left-) shift. The families $\{\sigma : \Sigma_k(\omega) \rightarrow \Sigma_k(\theta\omega)\}$ and $\{\sigma : \Sigma_A(\omega) \rightarrow \Sigma_A(\theta\omega)\}$ are called random k -shift and random subshift of finite type, respectively. Moreover, define $\Sigma_A := \{(\bar{x}, \omega) : \bar{x} \in \Sigma_A(\omega), \omega \in \Omega\}$, which is a measurable bundle over Ω , and also denote the respective skew-product transformation on Σ_A by σ .

One can also define one-sided versions of random k -shifts and subshifts of finite type on $\Sigma_k^+ := \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \{1, \dots, k(\theta^i \omega)\}$ and corresponding $\Sigma_A^+(\omega), \omega \in \Omega$ respectively. Let $C(\Sigma_A^+(\omega))$ denote the space of random continuous functions on Σ_A^+ which are measurable on ω and continuous on $x \in \mathbb{E}$ for fixed ω . If a random continuous function is $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. Hölder continuous with uniform exponent, such function is called random Hölder continuous function. For a random continuous function φ and $\omega \in \Omega$ random transfer operators $\mathcal{L}_\varphi(\omega) : C(\Sigma_A^+(\omega)) \rightarrow C(\Sigma_A^+(\theta\omega))$ is defined by

$$(\mathcal{L}_\varphi(\omega)h)(x) = \sum_{y \in \Sigma_A^+(\omega) : \sigma y = x} \exp(\varphi(\omega, y))h(y)$$

for $h \in C(\Sigma_A^+(\omega)), x \in C(\Sigma_A^+(\theta\omega))$. $\mathcal{L}_\varphi^*(\omega)$ denotes the random dual operator mapping finite signed measures on $C(\Sigma_A^+(\theta\omega))$ to those on $C(\Sigma_A^+(\omega))$ by

$$\int h d\mathcal{L}_\varphi^*(\omega)m = \int \mathcal{L}_\varphi h dm \text{ for all } h \in C(\Sigma_A^+(\omega))$$

for a finite signed measure m on $C(\Sigma_A^+(\theta\omega))$.

The following theorem is the Theorem 4.3 of [17], which is the main result about SRB measures needed in Section 4.3.1.

Theorem B.7. Let F be a $C^{1+\alpha}$ random dynamical system with a random topologically transitive hyperbolic attractor $\Lambda(\subset \mathbb{E} \times \Omega)$. Then there exists a unique F -invariant measure (SRB measure) ν supported by Λ and characterized by each of the following:

- (i) $h_\nu(F) = \int \sum \lambda_i^+ d\nu$ where λ_i are the Lyapunov exponents corresponding to ν ;
- (ii) $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. the conditional measures of ν_ω on the unstable manifolds are absolutely continuous with respect to the Riemannian volume on these submanifolds;
- (iii) $h_\nu(F) + \int f d\nu = \sup_{F\text{-invariant measure } m} \{h_m(F) + \int f d\nu\}$ and the latter is the topological pressure $\pi_F(f)$ of f which satisfies $\pi_F(f) = 0$;
- (iv) $\nu = \psi \tilde{\mu}$ where $\tilde{\mu}$ is the equilibrium state for the two-sided shift σ on Σ_A and the function $f \circ \psi$. The measure $\tilde{\mu}$ can be obtained as a natural extension of the probability measure μ which is invariant with respect to the one-sided shift σ on Σ_A^+ and such that $\mathcal{L}_\eta^*(\omega)\mu_{\theta\omega} = \mu_\omega$ $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. where $\eta - f \circ \psi = h - h \circ (\theta \times \sigma)$ for some random Hölder continuous function h ;
- (v) ν can be obtained as a weak limit $\nu_\omega = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(n, \theta^{-n}\omega)m_{\theta^{-n}\omega}$ $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. for any measure m_ω absolutely continuous with respect to the Riemannian volume such that $\text{supp } m_\omega \subset U(\omega)$.

Here $f(x, \omega) = -\log \|\det D_x F(x, \omega)|_{E^u(x, \omega)}\|$, where $E^u(x, \omega)$ is the invariant unstable Oseledets subspace of $D_x F$ on (x, ω) ; and ψ is the conjugation between F on Λ and σ on Σ_A which is constructed based on Markov partitions, then reduce σ on Σ_A to the image of an unstable manifold to obtain σ on Σ_A^+ . The Hölder continuity follows the $C^{1+\alpha}$ ness of F , and the existence of Markov partitions are given by Theorem 3.9 of [17].

Remark B.1. *For the purpose of Section 4.3.1, we need to notify the following facts:*

- i) *From the construction of Markov partitions in [17], one can obtain that for $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$, $\psi|_{\Sigma_A(\omega)}$ is a continuous onto map from $\Sigma_A(\omega)$ to $\Lambda(\omega)$ and*

$$\{x \in \Lambda(\omega) : (\psi|_{\Sigma_A(\omega)})^{-1}(x) \text{ is not singleton}\}$$

is a countable set.

- ii) *For detailed discussion on one-sided random symbolic dynamical system (Σ_A^+, σ) and the corresponding Ruelle's transfer operator, we refer the reader to [13]. Here, one property of the random equilibrium state μ is useful (see Proposition 4.7 and Theorem 2.3 of [13]): there exists positive constant c_1, c_2 and strictly positive random variable λ with $\log \lambda \in \mathbb{L}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$ such that $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.*

$$c_1 \leq \frac{\mu_\omega(y \in \Sigma_A^+(\omega) : x_i = y_i \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, m-1)}{\exp(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f \circ \psi(\sigma^i \bar{x}, \theta^i \omega) - \log \lambda_m(\omega))} \leq c_2,$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $\bar{x} \in \Sigma_A^+(\omega)$, where $\lambda_m(\omega) := \lambda(\theta^{m-1}\omega) \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda(\omega)$.

- iii) *By the uniqueness of natural extension and the invariance of $\tilde{\mu}$, one can obtain that $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mu}_\omega(y \in \Sigma_A(\omega) : x_i = y_i \text{ for } i = n, \dots, n+m-1) \\ &= \tilde{\mu}_{\sigma^n \omega}(y \in \Sigma_A(\omega) : \sigma x_i = y_i \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, m-1) \\ &= \mu_{\sigma^n \omega}(y \in \Sigma_A^+(\omega) : \sigma x_i = y_i \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, m-1) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\bar{x} \in \Sigma_A(\omega)$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

APPENDIX C. MEASURABLE SELECTION

In this section, we state a theorem about measurable selection, which is taken from [14].

Theorem C.1. *Let Y be a complete separable metric space, $(X, \mathcal{L})$ be a measurable space, and Γ be a multifunction from X to $\mathcal{F}(Y)$ which is the collection of non-empty closed sets of Y . If for any open set $U \subset Y$, $\Gamma^-(U) := \{\omega \mid \Gamma(\omega) \cap U \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{L}$, then Γ admits a measurable selection.*

REFERENCES

- [1] R.L. Adler and B. Weiss, Entropy, a complete metric invariant for automorphisms of the torus, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 57 (1967), 1573-1576.
- [2] R.L. Adler and B. Weiss, Similarity of automorphisms of the torus. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 98 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1970 ii+43 pp.
- [3] D. Anosov, Geodesic flows on closed Riemann manifolds with negative curvature, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, No. 90 (1967). Translated from the Russian by S. Feder American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1969 iv+235 pp.

- [4] L. Arnold, Random dynamical systems. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. xvi+586 pp.
- [5] K. Berg, On the conjugacy problem for K-systems, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Minnesota, 1967.
- [6] F. Blanchard, E. Glasner, S. Kolyada and A. Maass, On Li-Yorke pairs, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 547(2002), 51-68.
- [7] R. Bowen, Markov partitions for Axiom A diffeomorphisms, *Amer. J. Math.* 92 (1970), 725-747.
- [8] R. Bowen, Markov partitions are not smooth, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 71 (1970), 130-132.
- [9] R. Bowen, Periodic points and measures for Axiom A diffeomorphisms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 154 (1971), 377-397.
- [10] R. Bowen and D. Ruelle, The ergodic theory of Axiom A flows, *Invent. Math.* 29(1975), 181-202.
- [11] R. Bowen, On Axiom A Diffeomorphisms, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, No. 35, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1978.
- [12] R. Bowen, Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms. Second revised edition. With a preface by David Ruelle. Edited by Jean-Ren Chazottes. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 470. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. viii+75 pp.
- [13] T. Bogenschütz and V.M. Gundlach, Ruelle's transfer operator for random subshifts of finite type, *Ergodic theory and Dynamical systems*, **15**(1995), 413-447.
- [14] C. Castaing and M. Valadier, Convex analysis and measurable multifunctions, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, **580** 1977. vii+278 pp.
- [15] S-N. Chow, X-B. Lin and K. Palmer, A shadowing lemma with applications to semilinear parabolic equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 3(1989), 547-557.
- [16] V.M. Gundlach, Random homoclinic orbits, *Random and Computational Dynamics*, 3(1 and 2), 1-33, 1995.
- [17] V.M. Gundlach and Y. Kifer, Random Hyperbolic Systems, *Stochastic dynamics* (Bremen, 1997), 17-145, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [18] W. Huang and X. Ye, A local variational relation and applications, *Israel J. Math.* 151(2006), 237-279.
- [19] W. Huang and K. Lu, Entropy, Chaos and weak Horseshoe for Infinite Dimensional Random Dynamical Systems, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, to appear.
- [20] A. Katok, Lyapunov exponents, entropy and periodic orbits for diffeomorphisms, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 51(1980), 137-173.
- [21] D. Kerr and H. Li, Independence in topological and C^* -dynamics, *Math. Ann.* 338(2007), no. 4, 869-926.
- [22] A.N. Kolmogorov, A new metric invariant of transient dynamical systems and automorphisms in Lebesgue spaces, (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)* 119(1958), 861-864.
- [23] Z. Lian, P. Liu and K. Lu, SRB measures for a class of partially hyperbolic attractors in Hilbert spaces, *Journal of Differential Equations* 261(2016), 1532-1603.
- [24] Z. Lian and L-S. Young, Lyapunov Exponents, Periodic Orbits and Horseshoes for Mappings of Hilbert Spaces, *Annales Henri Poincaré* 12(2011), 1081-1108.
- [25] Z. Lian and L-S. Young, Lyapunov Exponents, Periodic Orbits and Horseshoes for Semiflows on Hilbert Spaces, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 25(2012), no.3, 637-665.
- [26] Random Perturbations of Axiom A basic sets, *Journal of Statistical Physics* 90(1998), Issue 1, 467-490.
- [27] K. Lu and Q-D. Wang, Chaos in differential equations driven by a nonautonomous force, *Nonlinearity* 23 (2010) 2935-2975.
- [28] K. Lu and Q-D. Wang, Chaotic behavior in differential equations driven by a Brownian motion, *Journal of Differential Equations*, 251(2011), 2853-2895.
- [29] D. Ruelle, A measure associated with Axiom A attractors, *Amer. J. Math.*, **98**(1976), 619-654.
- [30] D. Ruelle, Characteristic exponents and invariant manifolds in Hilbert space, *Ann. Math.*, **115**(1982), 243-290.
- [31] M. Shub, Global Stability of Dynamical Systems, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, London, Paris, Tokyo, 1987.
- [32] Ya. G. Sinai, On the concept of entropy for a dynamic system, (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **124** (1959), 768-771.
- [33] Ya. G. Sinai, Markov partitions and C-diffeomorphisms, *Functional Analysis and Its Applications* **2** (1968), 61-82.
- [34] Ya. G. Sinai, Construction of Markov partitions, *Functional Analysis and Its Applications* **2** (1968), 245-253.
- [35] Ya. G. Sinai, Gibbs measure in ergodic theory, *Russ. Math. Surv.*, **27**(1972), 21-69.

- [36] S. Smale, Differentiable dynamical systems, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 747-817.
- [37] H. Zhao and Z. Zheng, Random periodic solutions of random dynamical systems, J. Differential Equations **246** (2009), no. 5, 2020-2038.

(Wen Huang) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA,
HEFEI, ANHUI, CHINA
E-mail address, Wen.H: `wenh@ustc.edu.cn`

(Zeng Lian) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU, SICHUAN, 610016,
CHINA
E-mail address, Z. Lian: `ZengLian@gmail.com`